

THE TORMENTED TERRORIST

“As often as their skin is burnt and singed, roasted through, We shall change it for fresh skin, so that they may go on tasting the torment.”

Islam’s sixth year dawned like all others. Muhammad scurried off on yet another terrorist raid. *Tabari VIII:42* “Allah’s Messenger set out six months after the conquest of the Qurayza. He went to Lihyan, seeking vengeance for the men betrayed at Faji. To take the enemy by surprise, he pretended to go north. Then he veered to the left and, having passed Yayn, his route led him directly by the main road of Mecca.”

But the bad boy had earned a bad reputation so... *Ishaq:485* “Muhammad found that the Lihyan had been warned. They had taken secure positions on the mountaintops. After he failed to take them by surprise as he intended, he said, ‘If we go down to Usfan, the Meccans will think we have come to [terrorize] them.’ So he set out with two hundred Muslim riders before halting at Usfan, and then he returned to Medina.” Crime evidently pays. A few months earlier, Muhammad and his fellow militants could only muster thirty-six horsemen against the Jews. But now, after having sold their children into slavery, they are two hundred strong.

While the raid failed, the militants recorded this little ditty: *Ishaq:486* “If the Lihyan had remained in their homes they would have met bands of fine fighters, audacious warriors who terrorize. They would have confronted an irresistible force glittering like stars. But they were weasels, sticking to the clefts of rocks instead.”

The next Hadith chronicles another failed raid. We learn that a man named after the Qur’an’s first god, Abd-Rahman, raided the prophet’s camels, driving them off and killing a herdsman. *Tabari VIII:44* “Salamah said, ‘Tell the Messenger that the polytheists have raided his camels.’ Standing on a hill, I faced Medina and shouted, ‘A raid!’ Then I set out after the enemy, shooting arrows and saying rajaz verses: ‘Today the mean ones will receive destruction.’” Since all of Muhammad’s camels were either stolen outright, or purchased with stolen booty, the moral of the story is: stealing what’s stolen is bad. The Islamic cavalry was sent in hot pursuit. *Tabari VIII:46* “If you believe in Allah and know that Paradise is real and that the Fire is real, do not stand between me and martyrdom!’ But Abd-Rahman dismounted and thrust his spear into Akhram. So I shot Abd-Rahman with an arrow, and said, ‘Take that!’”

Muhammad’s marauding horde failed to recapture the twice-stolen booty,

but they managed to recite some revealing poetry: *Ishaq:489* “War is kindled by passing winds. Our swords glitter, cutting through pugnacious heads. Allah puts obstacles in our victims’ way to protect His sacred property and our dignity.” The man who kindled the winds of war was: “Allah’s Apostle, our amir, a man whose message we believe; he is a Prophet who recites a luminous light-bringing Book.”

As you read this next angry diatribe, consider the message and style. This is the same rajaz poetry in which the surahs were revealed. And it is the same message, making it synonymous with Allah’s voice. *Ishaq:489* “Do the bastards think that we are not their equal in fighting? We are men who believe there is no shame in killing. We don’t turn from piercing lances. We smite the heads of the haughty with blows that quash the zeal of the unyielding [non-Muslims]. We’re heroes, protecting our war banner. We are a noble force, as fierce as wolves. We preserve our honor and protect our property by smashing heads.” It’s little wonder Hitler modeled Nazism after Islam.

Turning the page, we are confronted with childish tales of braggadocios bullies vying for the prophet’s attention. One concludes with: *Tabari VIII:48* “Then he set out at full speed after the enemy—he was like a beast of prey.” Fixated on raiding civilians, a series of Traditions praise Muslims who could shoot and shout at the same time. Muhammad assigns commanders, reviews his ranks, judges his companions’ killing prowess, and sends everyone out against his enemies. Then: *Ishaq:490/Tabari VIII:51* “When Allah’s Messenger heard about the Mustaliq gathering against him he set out and met them at one of their watering holes near the coast. The people advanced and fought fiercely. Allah caused the Mustaliq to fight and killed some of them. Allah gave the Apostle their children, women, and property as booty.”

When the Muslim militants went after the Meccan caravan and ended up fighting merchants at Badr, Allah said in the 8th surah that it was he, not them, who wielded the sword. When the Qaynuqa, Nadir, and Qurayza Jews were terrorized, Allah again claimed credit in the 33rd and 59th surahs. On this day, Muhammad sets off with an army, they fight, and yet Allah says that he was the killer, the thief, and the slave trader. Believe what you will about “god” being an immoral thug; the creator of the universe cannot be so impotent and delusional he has to usurp credit for acts clearly performed by others.

What’s really happening here is the essence of Islam. Muhammad needed a spiritual endorsement to make his vulgar deeds—piracy, the slave trade, and terrorism—seem godly. By alleging that Allah perpetrated the crimes, Muhammad obfuscated personal responsibility for his heinous behavior.

Islam’s founder was a rather simple character. Abused as a child, he craved revenge. The Meccan leaders became his enemy as they withheld the sustenance that flowed from the Ka’aba Inc. Muhammad sold his soul to the Devil to take it away from them. As insecure as Hitler, he craved the things he thought would make him *feel* worthy: sex, power, and money. The motivation behind each of his terrorist raids was money. Allah’s alleged endorsement of his dictatorship gave him power. But let us not forget sex. The king of the

Banu Mustaliq sired Juwayriyah, the most beautiful woman in the land. She was taken by the prophet.

Placed into the context of these historical Hadith, the following Tradition from Imam Muslim is untrue. An invitation to surrender was never part of Muhammad's battle plan. *Muslim: B19N4292* "Aun inquired whether it was necessary to extend (to the infidels) an invitation to submit to Islam before murdering them in the fight. Nafi told me that it was necessary in the early days of Islam. The Messenger (may peace be upon him) made a raid upon Mustaliq while they were unaware and their cattle were having a drink at the water. He killed those who fought and imprisoned others. On that very day, he captured Juwayriyah. Nafi said that this Tradition was related to him by Abdallah Umar who was among the raiding troops." The first Muslims admitted that they were raiders who launched surprise attacks on unsuspecting civilians. This is textbook terrorism. They confessed to murder and to taking captives whom they sold into slavery. They even confirmed Muhammad's personal involvement, acknowledging that "he killed," "imprisoned," and "captured Juwayriyah," the chief's daughter. This is a very unappealing picture—one completely inconsistent with a religion worthy of human souls.

What's more, the premise of the Hadith was rejected by the body of the Tradition. There was no invitation to Islam. This was a surprise attack by armed raiders on defenseless and unsuspecting civilians. The stated purpose was to assert Muhammad's power over the Arabs, to steal their possessions and their lives, turning them into slaves. In a way, it was Islam.

Returning to the rape and plunder of the Mustaliq Arabs: *Tabari VIII:56/Ishaq:493* "According to Aisha: 'A great number of Mustaliq were wounded. The Messenger took many captives, and they were divided among all the Muslims. Juwayriyah was one of the slaves. When the Prophet divided the captives by lot [a gambling game], Juwayriyah fell to the share of Thabit, Muhammad's cousin. She gave him a deed for her freedom which he did not accept. Juwayriyah was the most beautiful woman and she captivated anyone who looked at her. She came to the Apostle seeking his help. As soon as I saw her at the door of my chamber, I took a dislike to her, and I knew that he would see in her what I saw.'"

Aisha was right... *Tabari VIII:57* "Muhammad said, 'Would you like something better than that? I will discharge your debt [ransom payment] and marry you.'" The story is that she agreed. The reason: "A hundred families of the Mustaliq were freed as a result of the marriage. I know of no woman who was a greater blessing to her people than she." Juwayriyah was a real martyr.

The Emigrant Muslims from Mecca and the converted ones from Medina were often hostile, even with one another. Such was the case on this day: *Tabari VIII:52/Ishaq:491* "Jahjah and Juhani began crowding each other at the watering place and fought. Juhani shouted, 'People of the Ansar [Medina Muslims].' Jahjah shouted, 'People of the Emigrants [Meccan Muslims].' Abdallah bin Ubayy [the leader of the Ansar] became enraged and said, 'Why are they doing this? Are they trying to outrank us and outnumber us in our own land? The proverb "Feed a dog and it will devour you" fits these Quraysh

vagabonds. When we go back to Medina, those who are stronger will drive out the weaker. Then he turned to his tribe and said, 'This is what you have done to yourselves! You have allowed them [the Meccan Muslims] to settle in your land [Yathrib] and divide your wealth. Had you kept from them what you had, they would have moved to another place.'" The first Muslims were at each other's throats.

Back in Medina, and in the presence of the prophet, the Emigrants weren't ready to let Abdallah Ubayy's outburst drop. They wanted blood. *Tabari VIII:53* "Abdallah said, 'Those who are stronger will drive out the weaker from Medina.' But you, O Messenger of Allah, will drive him out if you wish. He is the weak one, and you are the strong one. Allah caused you to arrive at the moment when his people were stringing precious stones to make him a crown; he thinks that you deprived him of a kingdom.'" *Ishaq:491* "Umar said, 'Tell someone to go kill him.' The Apostle answered, 'But what will men say about me if I start killing Muslims?'"

A young recruit must have rushed in: *Tabari VIII:55/Ishaq:492* "Messenger of Allah, I have been told that you want to kill Abdallah bin Ubayy. If you are going to do it; command me and I will bring you his head. I will kill a believer to avenge an unbeliever, and thereby enter the Fire of Hell.' The Prophet said, 'No.' Thus after that day it was his own tribesmen who censured him and threatened him. When word of how they were behaving reached Muhammad, he said to Umar, 'What do you think, Umar? Had I killed him the day you ordered me to, prominent men would have been upset, who, if I ordered them today to kill him, would do so.'" Muhammad was evil, not stupid.

Other than prostrating oneself in submission, paying the zakat, and fighting Jihad, Muslims have never had a way to determine who really was one. Even Muhammad couldn't tell. *Tabari VIII:55* "Miqyas came from Mecca, pretending to have become a Muslim, and said, 'Muhammad, I have come to you as a Muslim to seek blood money for my brother who was killed by mistake.' The Prophet ordered him to be paid blood money for his brother and he stayed briefly with Muhammad. Then he attacked his brother's slayer, killing him. He left for Mecca as an apostate." According to Islam, an apostate endures hell's hottest flames. So it's okay to kill and good to accept booty for killing, but only if Allah is credited with the murder.

This Hadith is especially revealing: *Ishaq:485* "O Apostle, I will accept Islam and give you my allegiance on the condition that my past faults are forgiven and no mention is made of what has gone before.' Allah's Messenger said, 'Give your allegiance, for Islam does away with all that preceded it, as does the Hijrah [Muhammad's shameful flight from Mecca].'" Muhammad proudly announced, and Ishaq dutifully recorded, words that should send shivers up the spine of every non-Muslim. The "religion" of Islam, the feeble and demented doctrine that emerged before the terrorist raids, before piracy, kidnapping, the slave trade, and mass murder, was no more. All that preceded the Hijrah had been abrogated. All that remained of the poligious doctrine now was vice, villainy, and violence.

It's apparent that Aisha got her pigtailed bent out of shape with the addition of the seductive Juwayriyah to the harem. The next six pages in both

Tabari and Ishaq are dedicated to what is called, “The Account of the Lie that Was Uttered.” Ishaq says, with great trepidation: *Tabari VIII:58/Ishaq:493* “According to a man I do not suspect, and others who contributed parts of the story, a report has been assembled for you based upon what people have told me in regards to the account of Aisha’s story about herself, when the authors of the lie said about her what they said.” Muhammad’s fellow Muslims accused Aisha of infidelity—cheating on the prophet. It’s hard to believe that she would act so immaturely at fourteen. It’s hard to imagine why she would want to be loved by someone within forty years of her age. Yet apparently after becoming just one of a score of sex toys in the prophet’s collection, there was some unauthorized fornication.

Afraid to demean Muhammad’s excuse and contradict the Qur’an, Tabari treads lightly, as well. *Tabari VIII:58* “Each contributed to her account. Some related what others did not. Each was a reliable informant concerning her, and each related about her what he had heard. The whole of her story rests on these men.” Neither Tabari nor Ishaq batted an eye when they told us that Muhammad had spoken for the Devil, that Allah had approved booty, rape, and the slave trade. They reported the annihilation of the Jews like true soldiers. But, now they have made a dozen excuses so as to say: “If you don’t like this report, don’t blame me.”

Tabari VIII:58/Ishaq:494 “Aisha said, ‘When the raid on the Mustaliq took place, Muhammad had his wives draw lots as he used to do to see who would accompany him. My lot came out over theirs, and he took me along. Women in those days used to eat only enough to stay alive; they were not bloated with meat so as to become heavy. While my camel was being saddled, I would sit in my litter; then the men who were to bind my litter onto my camel would come and place my howdah on the camel. They would take hold of the camel and walk alongside.’ This provides an interesting insight into Muhammad’s priorities. Setting off on a terrorist raid he used men and a camel to carry a girl so that he might not be deprived of sex. As such, believing that this man was a prophet speaking on behalf of God requires one to be deprived of a brain.

Ishaq:494 “When the people had mounted, I went out to attend to a need of mine (to relieve myself). I had a necklace with onyx beads. When I was finished it came undone without my noticing. I retraced my steps to the place where I had gone looking until I found it. But while I was away, the men who saddled the camel for me assumed that I was in the litter and lifted it up. When I returned to the camp, not a soul was there. I wrapped myself in my jilbab and lay down in the place where I had gone. I thought they would return.”

The continuing saga is so peppered with innuendo, it’s embarrassing: *Tabari VIII:58/Ishaq:494* “I had just lain down when Safwan al-Sulami passed by. He had lagged behind attending to a need of his. He had not spent the night with the troops. When he saw my form, he approached me and stood over me. He used to look at me before the veil and hijab was imposed on us. When he saw me, he exclaimed in astonishment, ‘The Apostle’s wife!’ He asked why I was alone, but I did not speak. Then he brought his camel near and said, ‘Mount! I mounted and he came. He took hold of the camel’s head and set out with me, hastening in pursuit of the party. He told me to ride it while he kept behind. So I rode it.”

To cut to the chase, the rumors of their party were vicious. Aisha said, *Tabari VIII:60* **"The story reached the Prophet and I missed the attention he once showed me.... He would come to see me while my mother was nursing me, and say 'How is she?' and nothing more. We were Arab folk. We did not have these privies in our houses that the foreigners have; we loathed such things. Instead, we would go out into the fields of Medina. One day while I was out with the girls. One said, 'Daughter, take it lightly. Whenever a beautiful woman married to a man has rival wives, they always gossip about her, and people do the same.' I showed my astonishment."**

So Muhammad used his mosque to attack those who had defamed his favorite toy. When he finished, Usayd said, **"Even if they are brothers, give the command. I will rid you of them because they deserve to have their heads cut off!"** A squabble ensued. *Ishaq:496* **"By Allah you lie,' one said to another. 'Liar yourself!' 'You are a disaffected person arguing on behalf of the diseased,"** another shouted, providing fodder for the fourth surah. **"Over this affair, fighting almost broke out between the Muslim clans."**

Yet some men were simply rude rather than rash. *Tabari VIII:62/Ishaq:496* **"Ali [Muhammad's adopted son, son-in-law, future Caliph, and Shi'ite saint] said, 'Prophet, women are plentiful. You can get a replacement, easily changing one for another.'" Studying the formation of Islam is like wallowing in the mud with pigs. It stinks to high heaven and there are swine everywhere.**

But this little pig wasn't finished. He huffed: **"Ask the slave girl; she will tell you the truth.' So the Apostle called Burayra to ask her. Ali got up and gave her a violent beating first, saying, 'Tell the Apostle the truth.'" Caught between a sexist and a sadist, the slave was of no value to either man. Ali couldn't force her to speak badly of Aisha and Muhammad chose not to listen. All we know is that the founders of Islam captured and owned slaves, and they beat them without remorse.**

In a related Hadith: *Muslim:B40N6837* **"Allah's Messenger delivered an address, mentioning a camel and a bad person who cut off its hind legs, reciting: 'When the basest of them broke forth with mischief.' He then delivered instruction saying: 'There are amongst you those who beat their women. They flog them like slave girls. Then after flogging them like slaves they comfort them in their beds as a result at the end of the day.' He then advised in regard to people laughing at the breaking of wind and said: 'You laugh at that which you yourself do.'" It may have been the world's worst sermon.**

While this is a story about Aisha's infidelity, we are learning a great deal about the nature of Islam and the character of Muhammad and his cronies. For example, the first Caliph, Abu Bakr, wasn't much of a father. *Tabari VIII:63/Ishaq:496* **"When Muhammad came into my room, my parents were with me. I was crying. I waited for my mother and father to reply to the Apostle, but they did not speak. I asked my parents why they were afraid to defend me, but they said nothing. My weeping broke out afresh. I swear, I considered myself too lowly and unimportant for Allah to reveal a Qur'an about me to be recited in mosques and used in worship. But I was hoping that the Prophet would see something in a dream from Allah which would clear me of this."**

Aisha was young and cute, so what do you suppose the over-hormoned

profiteer did next? Yep, he contrived another Allahism to satiate his cravings. Muhammad was as predictable as a mosquito at a nudist colony. *Tabari VIII:63/ Ishaq:497* **“Before Allah’s Messenger left the place he was sitting, there came over him from Allah what used to come over him. They covered him with his garment and set a leather cushion under his head. Then he recovered and sat up; drops of sweat fell from him like silver beads. He began wiping the perspiration from his brow and said, ‘Good news, Aisha! Allah has sent down word about your innocence.’ I said, ‘To Allah’s praise and your blame!’ Then he went out to the people and preached to them. He recited the Qur’an Allah had revealed concerning me and gave orders concerning Mistah, Hassan, and Hamnah who were the most explicit in their slander. They received their prescribed flogging of eighty lashes. They were beaten to the boundary of death for their crime against the religion of Islam.”** This equates **“the religion of Islam”** with **“making Muhammad feel good.”**

Islam’s founder routinely made up Qur’an scripture to suit his agenda. However, there was a glimmer of light in this story. Aisha knew better. That’s why she condemned Muhammad the moment he revealed the 24th surah, a **“divine”** revelation inspired by infidelity. It’s called **“The Criterion.”** *024.001* **“(This is) a surah which We have revealed and made obligatory and in which We have revealed clear communications that you may be mindful. For the woman and the man guilty of adultery or fornication, flog each of them with a hundred stripes. Let not compassion move you in their case, in a matter prescribed by Allah. And let a party of the Believers witness their punishment.”** For Muhammad to avoid having the lash applied to him, he had to have his god condone polygamy (which is adultery in all sane religions and societies), as well as pedophilia (which is what is being approved here), incest (approved earlier in the 33rd surah), unwed sex with concubines (which is fornication) and sex with slaves (which is rape). In other words, Muhammad was a hypocrite—the embodiment of the condition he condemned.

That said, it was time for a situational scripture. Muhammad’s plaything had been accused of adultery, but in order that her services might continue to arouse him, this Qur’anic surah was conveniently revealed. *024.004* **“And those who launch a charge against chaste women, and produce not four witnesses (to support their allegations), flog them with eighty stripes; and reject their evidence ever after: for such men are wicked transgressors.”** Aisha had but three accusers. How fortuitous.

Now that the witnesses have been punished and the perpetrators exonerated, Muhammad put Muslim women in their place—in submission to men. *024.006* **“And for those who launch a charge against their wives, accusing them, but have no witnesses or evidence, except themselves; let the testimony of one of them be four testimonies, (swearing four times) by Allah that he is the one speaking the truth. And the fifth (oath) that they solemnly invoke the curse of Allah on themselves if they tell a lie.”** That pretty much sums up the plight of Islamic women. If their husbands say that they are bad four times, they are as good as dead. Men don’t need evidence.

In the Islamic world, women can’t speak or even leave home without their husbands’ permission. So this next verse is rendered moot. *024.008* **“But it would**

avert the punishment from the wife (of being stoned to death), if she bears witness four times by Allah, that (her husband) is telling a lie. And the fifth (oath) should be that she solemnly invokes the wrath of Allah on herself if (her accuser) is telling the truth.”

Returning to Muhammad’s predicament, we find another series of verses that are senseless without the context of the Hadith. And within their context, they are petty and vengeful, focused on the desires of the Qur’an’s author. The sheer volume of these verses should tell you all you need to know about his priorities. *024.011* “Those who brought forward the lie are a body among you: but think it not an evil to you; on the contrary, it is good for you: to every man among them (will come the punishment) of the sin he earned, and for him who took the lead in the slander, his will be an awful doom. Why did not the believers, when you heard of the affair, put the best construction on it in their minds and say, ‘This is an obvious lie?’ Why did they not bring four witnesses to prove it? Since they produce not witnesses, they are liars in the sight of Allah. Were it not for His mercy a grievous penalty would have seized you in that you rushed glibly into this affair. You received it on your tongues, and said out of your mouths things of which you had no knowledge; and you thought it to be a light matter, while it was most serious in the sight of Allah, a grave offense. And why did you not, when you heard it, say? ‘It is not right of us to speak of this: this is a most serious slander, an awful calumny!’ Allah does admonish you, that you may never repeat such, if you are Believers. And Allah makes clear the communications. Allah is the Knower, Wise. Those who love scandal to be broadcast among the Believers will have a painful punishment in this life and the hereafter.”

How is it possible that a book allegedly written before the world began could focus so intently on a child accused of fornication and yet say nothing about the indiscretion that prompted the infidelity? Aisha was upset because Muhammad purchased—with money he “earned” selling children into slavery—sex with an alluring slave. It’s obvious Ibn Ishaq, the prophet’s earliest and most trusted biographer, and Tabari, the first Islamic historian, were bothered too. And that’s why they said: *Ishaq:493* “According to a man I do not suspect, and others who contributed parts of the story, a report has been assembled for you based upon what people have told me in regards to the account of Aisha’s story about herself, when the authors of the lie said about her what they said.” In other words, the same sources who have brought us the Hadith and Qur’an were witnesses against Aisha and thus witnesses against Muhammad and the Qur’an.

Speaking of hypocrites, the man who admitted to reciting a surah dictated by Satan now tells others: *024.021* “Believers, follow not Satan’s footsteps: if any will follow Satan, he will command what is shameful, filthy, and wrong.” Since every deed Muhammad has perpetrated since the Hijrah has been shameful, filthy, and wrong, he has revealed who commanded him.

The demon-possessed prophet wasn’t done attacking those who had tried to deprive him (a nearly sixty-year-old man) of the pleasures of possessing a fourteen-year-old child. *024.023* “Those who slander chaste women, indiscreet and careless but believing, are cursed in this life and in the hereafter: for them is an awful doom.

On the Day when their tongues, their hands, and their feet will bear witness against them as to what they did. On that Day Allah will pay them back their just reward.”

Considering Muhammad’s collection of concubines and sex slaves were from many races and religions, this next verse would make him impure. *024.026* “Women impure are for men impure, and men impure for women impure...”

Moving on, we find the Muhammad/Allah team treating women differently, and less favorably, than men. *024.031* “And say to the believing women that they should lower their gaze and guard their modesty; that they should not display their beauty except what (must) appear; that they should draw their veils over their bosoms and not display them except to their husbands, their fathers, their husband’s fathers, their sons, their husbands’ sons, their brothers or their brothers’ sons, or their women, or the slaves whom they possess, or male servants free of physical needs, or small children who have no sense of the shame of sex; and that they should not strike their feet in order to draw attention to their hidden ornaments.” To this prophet, women were objects, not people.

Since Muhammad financed his war machine on the slave trade, and satiated his libido by the admission of slaves into his harem, we shouldn’t be surprised by: *024.032* “And marry such as are your slaves and maid-servants [female slaves]. If they be poor, Allah will enrich them of His bounty.” It was another form of booty.

This next verse confirms what I surmised from the Hadith. When enslaved women were given to Muhammad’s militants as booty, they were forced into prostitution. *024.034* “Force not your slave-girls to whoredom (prostitution) if they desire chastity, that you may seek enjoyment of this life. [And here’s the freedom-to-pimp card:] But if anyone forces them, then after such compulsion, Allah is oft-forgiving.” These guys weren’t qualified to open a brothel, much less start a religion.

If we didn’t know Muhammad better, this symbolism might appear inspired (that is, if it weren’t so poorly written): *024.035* “Allah is the Light of the heavens and the earth. The Parable of His Light is a niche and within a lamp: the lamp is in a glass: the glass as it were a shining star kindled from a blessed tree, an olive, neither of the east nor west, whose oil is nigh luminous though fire scarce touched it. Light upon light! Allah does guide whom He will to His light: Allah sets forth parables for men: and knows all things.”

Confirming that Allah was the name of a pagan idol, not the word for God, we discover: *024.036* “(Lit is such a light) in houses which Allah has permitted to be exalted that His name shall be remembered, for the celebration of His name.”

Leaving dimwitted we return to demented. Business is a distraction for those trapped in the terrorist creed but paying taxes is good. *024.037* “Men who do not let business divert them from prayers and paying the zakat fear a day when eyes will roll back (in horror of the Day of Doom).” And once again, we find Allah in hell. *024.039* “For those who disbelieve, their deeds are like a mirage in the desert. There is no water for the thirsty. He only finds Allah, who will pay him his due in Hell.”

Muhammad said that Allah sent 999 out of every 1000 people to hell (*Bukhari:V8B76N537*), predestining them to be abused. *024.040* “Their fate is like the depths of darkness in the abysmal sea, overwhelmed with dark clouds: layers of darkness. If a

man stretches out his hands, he can hardly see it. For he whom Allah has not appointed light, for him there is no light.” The matching verse from the previous surah adds: *023.040* “Soon they will regret. Torment and an awful cry will overtake them. We have made such men rubbish, like rotting plants. So away with the people.” Being sent to hell to be tortured without being given a choice is sadistic. Being called rotting rubbish is demented. Only Satan would call this scripture.

Next we move from demented to delusional. Unable to perform a miracle or produce a prophecy, Muhammad tells us that rain was proof that his spirit was divine. *024.043* “Do you not see that Allah drives along the clouds, then gathers them together, then piles them up so you see the rain coming forth from their midst? And He sends down hail like mountains, striking and afflicting whom He wills and turning it away from whom He pleases. The flash of His lightning almost takes away the sight. It is Allah Who alternates the Night and the Day. In these things is an instructive example for those who have vision!” These aren’t poetic metaphors; they are pathetic proofs.

The next creation account is the twenty-fifth variant. After suggesting that we were made from a blood clot in the first surah, then sperm, base fluid, stinking slime, and clay, we learn: *024.045* “Allah has created every animal from water: of them there are some that creep on their bellies; some that walk on two legs; and some that walk on four.” *024.046* “We have indeed sent down proofs and signs that make things manifest, [and sent] revelations and explained them.”

It was time for Muhammad’s rock idol to denounce his critics—the bad Muslims who were abandoning him in droves. *024.047* “They say, ‘We believe in Allah and in the Messenger, and we obey,’ but even after that, some of them turn away: they are not (really) Believers. When they are summoned to Allah and His Messenger, in order that He may judge between them, behold some of them decline (to come) and are averse; But if right is on their side, they come quickly to him in submission, obedient.” Sometimes the smallest details reveal the biggest flaws. The letter “h” in “him” wasn’t capitalized, which means “him” was Muhammad. Therefore, the doctrine of submission was all about obedience to a man.

024.050 “Is there a disease in their hearts, do they have doubts? Do they in fear that Allah and His Messenger will deal unjustly, acting wrongfully toward them?” Now what would give the citizens of Medina that impression? Just because Muhammad had assassinated journalists, led scores of terrorist raids, motivated militants with booty, murdered thousands in cold blood, raped women, stole property, and sold children into slavery, doesn’t mean that he couldn’t be trusted, did it?

Muhammad devised a test, a way of distinguishing between the good and bad Muslims. *024.051* “The only response of the (true) Believers when summoned to Allah and His Messenger in order to judge between them, is no other than this: they say, ‘We hear and we obey.’ Such are successful. Those who obey Allah and His Messenger, fear Allah and do right, such are the victorious. Whoever obeys Allah and His Messenger fears Allah and keeps his duty.” Since there isn’t a single verse that suggests Allah ever spoke to his “believers,” Muslims must “hear and obey” Muhammad. Thus,

a good Muslim is one who obeys his commands to: conduct terrorist raids, engage in fighting and genocide, prosper using the slave trade, steal what belongs to others through conquest, always giving the prophet his fifth. Such behavior makes men good Muslims and bad people. I recognize that this is unflattering, but it's Islam's definition, not mine.

And lest we forget, we have been given a slightly expanded version of the dictatorial dogma first preached in Mecca: **"He who fears will mind."** This time it's: **"Whoever obeys Allah and His Messenger fears Allah and keeps his duty."**

Muhammad, frustrated by the peaceful Muslims who were unwilling to leave their homes to fight for him, said: *024.053* **"They swear their strongest oaths saying that if only you would command them."** In other words, what Muhammad wanted was for them to go out fighting while *he* stayed home! **"They would leave their homes (and go forth fighting in Allah's Cause). Say: 'Swear not; Obedience is (more) reasonable.' Say: 'Obey Allah, and obey the Messenger."** Obedience is, once again, to Muhammad and the required behavior is Jihad.

Muhammad now says on behalf of Allah that if you follow him into battle, the earth and its people will be yours. There is nothing like a good bribe to motivate mercenaries. Allah pledged to make Muslims **"rulers of the earth."** *024.055* **"Allah has promised to those among you who believe and do good work that He will make them rulers of the earth as He granted it to those before them. He will establish in authority their religion—the one which He has chosen for them..."** There *is* choice in Islam, only it doesn't belong to people.

To be a Muslim, all you have to do is perform rituals, pay taxes, and obey Muhammad. *024.056* **"Perform prayer, pay the zakat and obey the Messenger."** In this verse, Muhammad dispensed with Allah because all he wanted was obedience and money. *024.057* **"Never think that the unbelievers can escape in the land. Their abode is Fire!"** There is no escaping Islam, which is why it must be exterminated.

The prophet's desire to nap nude with his harem is the only possible rationale for this being in the Qur'an: *024.058* **"Believers, let your slave girls, and those who have not come to puberty, ask permission (before they come in your presence) on three occasions: before dawn, while you take off your clothes at midday, and after the night prayer. These are your times of undress—times of privacy for you. Outside those times it is not wrong for them to move about: Thus does Allah make clear the Signs."**

Returning to the theme of "submit and obey:" *024.062* **"Only those are believers in Allah and His Messenger who, when they are with him on a matter requiring collective action [like fighting], do not depart until they have asked for his permission. Deem not the summons of the Messenger like the summons of one of you. Allah knows those who slip away, making excuses. Beware of rejecting the Messenger's orders lest a grievous penalty be inflicted."** Since Muhammad was dead before the Qur'an became a book, why was this verse included? It ceased to be relevant the moment he died.

Muslims could dish it out, but they couldn't take it. One was overcome with rage after being satirized in a poem. *Ishaq:498* "Safwan smote Hassan with his sword and tied his hands to his neck." When Muhammad asked why, he explained: "He insulted and satirized me and I became enraged. So I smote him.' The Apostle said, 'Hassan, did you look on my people with an evil eye because Allah has guided them to Islam?'" Without benefit of an answer, the prophet told the shackled and beaten pagan to forget what the Muslim had done. Then we're told that he offered him a bribe. *Ishaq:499* "The Apostle provided some compensation that included a castle, some property, a portion of the zakat tax, and a Copt slave girl."

This leads us to the haunting betrayal of Hodaybiyah. *Tabari VIII:67/Ishaq:499* "The Prophet set out to make the lesser pilgrimage, not intending to make war. He had asked the Arabs and Bedouin desert dwellers who were around him to help by setting out with him, for he feared that the Quraysh would oppose him with fighting or turn him away from Allah's House. Many Bedouins were slow in coming to him. So the Messenger set out with the Emigrants and Ansar. He took sacrificial animals with him and put on the pilgrim garb." The Qur'an hasn't bothered to describe the pilgrimage, the use of sacrificial animals, or special garb. And that's because Arabs already knew about these things. They were part of their prior pagan lore. Islam simply incorporated old rites into its new dogma.

We are told that there were 700 men, 1400 men, 1300 men, or 1900 men, depending on who is telling the story. *Tabari VIII:71* "Umar said, 'Messenger, will you without arms or horses enter the territory of people who are at war with you?' So the Prophet sent men back to Medina and they gathered all of the horses and weapons they could find. When they approached Mecca, they prohibited him from entering, so they marched to Mina. Muhammad's spy brought him word that Ikrimah was coming out with five hundred men. Muhammad said, 'Khalid, your paternal uncle's son is coming against you.' Khalid replied, 'I am the sword of Allah and the sword of His Messenger! Direct me to whatever you wish!' Muhammad sent him in command of horsemen, and he met Ikrimah in the canyon and routed him—driving him back into Mecca. Khalid then routed him twice more. Regarding him, Allah revealed: 'It is he who restrained their hands from you, and your hands from them in the hallow of Mecca, after he made you victors over them'—until the words—'painful punishment.'" [Qur'an 48:24]

Ishaq:500 "The Messenger said, 'Woe to the Quraysh! War has devoured them! What harm would they suffer if they left me to deal with the rest of the Arabs? [Besides having their property seized and their people terrorized and killed?] If the Arabs defeat me, that will be what they want. If Allah makes me prevail over the Arabs [not save them], the Quraysh can enter Islam [surrender] en masse. Or they can fight. By Allah, I shall not cease to fight against them for the mission which Allah has entrusted me until Allah makes me victorious or I perish.'" For Muhammad, it was a never-ending war. Salvation never entered the picture.

After making his "give me victory or give me death" speech, the weasel whispered: *Ishaq:500* "Who will take us out by a way in which we will avoid the Quraysh?"

Suggesting that the pilgrims were really an army, *Tabari VIII:73/ Ishaq:500* “The Messenger gave orders to the force, saying, ‘Turn right, amid the saltbush on a path that will bring the army out over Murar Pass to the descent of Hudaybiyah below Mecca.’ So the army traveled that path. When the horsemen of the Quraysh saw the dust of the army and that the Messenger had turned away from their path, they galloped back to Mecca.”

The Hadith continues by disclosing the source of the prophet’s guidance. “When Muhammad’s camel entered the pass, she kneeled down. “She has not balked,’ the Prophet said. ‘The One who restrained the elephant restrained her. I will grant the Quraysh any wish today.’” The reference is to Abraha’s elephant who prostrated herself to the Ka’aba rather than enter Mecca. Allah was so proud of the way he killed the Yemeni, he revealed the 105th surah in his honor.

Tabari VIII:75 “The Prophet said, [after returning to Medina for his weapons and routing the Meccans three times with his cavalry] ‘We have not come to fight anyone; we have come to make the lesser pilgrimage. War has exhausted and harmed the Quraysh. [And whose fault might that be?] If they wish, we will grant them a delay [in killing them] and they can leave me alone to deal with the Arabs. If they refuse the delay, I shall fight them for the sake of this affair of mine as long as I live.’” It’s another confession.

Tabari VIII:76 “Urwah went to the Prophet. ‘Muhammad, tell me, if you exterminate your tribesmen—have you ever heard of any of the Arabs who has destroyed his own race before you?’” Good question—one without an answer. Muhammad was the first to terrorize, enslave, and murder Arabs *en masse*. *Ishaq:502* “Muhammad, you have collected a mixed group of people and brought them to your kin to destroy them. By Allah, I see both prominent people and rabble who are likely to flee, deserting you tomorrow.’ Now Abu Bakr who was standing behind the Apostle, said, ‘Go suck the clitoris of Al-Lat!’” The future Caliph was a master linguist.

Urwah had spoken truthfully, placing Muhammad’s barbaric deeds in the context of history and reason. But the Muslim brain trust couldn’t handle the truth, so they slandered him. Even today, when I engage Islamic clerics in debate, they attack the me rather than defend their scriptures.

Urwah rose above the insult. *Tabari VIII:76/Ishaq:502* “He began speaking to the Prophet again, stroking his beard. Mughira, clad in mail, was standing next to him with his sword. Whenever Urwah extended his hand toward the Prophet’s beard, Mughira struck his hand with the lower end of the scabbard and said, ‘Take your hand away from his beard before you lose it!’ Urwah raised his head and asked, ‘Who is this?’ They said, ‘Mughira.’ Urwah said, ‘Rude man, I am trying to rectify your act of treachery.’ During the Time of Ignorance [pre-Islam] Mughira had accompanied some men and killed them, taking their money.” Nothing has changed. “The Apostle just smiled.”

Tabari VIII:77 “Urwah began looking at the Companions of the Prophet. He said, ‘If Muhammad coughs up a bit of phlegm and it falls onto the hand of one of them, he rubs his face in it. If he gives them an order, they vie with each other to carry it out.’”

The next four pages of Tabari’s History wallow in the dust-filled ravines surrounding Mecca as Quraysh and Muslims jockey for position. Then they

get down to business. *Tabari VIII:82* “Allah’s Messenger summoned Uthman and sent him to Abu Sufyan and the dignitaries of the Quraysh to inform them that he had come not for war but merely to visit the House [of pagan idols] and venerate its sanctity. When Uthman delivered the message, the Quraysh said, ‘If you wish to circumambulate the Temple, do so.’ He replied, ‘I will not do it until the Messenger does.’ So the Quraysh imprisoned him.”

But Islamic intelligence wasn’t very good so the chief Muslim became enraged. *Ishaq:503/Tabari VIII:82* “When Muhammad received a report that Uthman had been killed, he said, ‘We will not leave until we fight it out with the enemy.’ He summoned the people to swear allegiance. The Prophet’s crier announced: People, an oath of allegiance! The Holy Spirit has descended!” These folks were deceived.

Ishaq:503/Tabari VIII:83 “On the day of Hudaibiyah we swore allegiance to the Messenger while Umar was holding his hand under the acacia tree. It was a pledge unto death.” The *Kum-ba-yah* handholding around the tree was sweet and all but I’m sure you noticed that the oath of allegiance was sworn to Muhammad, not Allah. The Qur’an agrees: *048.010* “Those who swear allegiance to you (Muhammad) indeed swear allegiance to Allah.” They were, after all, one in the same.

Having assembled his biography from oral traditions a century after these events unfolded, Ibn Ishaq knew what would ultimately unfold in the dry and barren lands of the Arabian Desert. So he wrote: *Ishaq:503* “Allah saw what was in their hearts [what they coveted] so he rewarded them with victory and with as much spoil as they could take. Allah promised that they would soon capture a great deal of booty.”

Ishaq:504/Tabari VIII:85 “The Quraysh intended peace when they sent Suhayl to Muhammad. He spoke for some time and they negotiated with each other. When the matter had been arranged and only the writing of the document remained, Umar jumped up and went to Abu Bakr and said, ‘Isn’t he the Messenger of Allah?’ ‘Yes’ Bakr replied. ‘And are we not Muslims?’ Umar asked. ‘Yes,’ answered Abu Bakr. ‘And are they not the polytheists?’ he asked. ‘Yes.’ “Then why,” asked Umar, ‘should we grant what is demeaning to our religion?’ So, what’s up? What could have been so awful that Umar, the future Caliph, was questioning the credentials of the man who had murdered, looted, and terrorized his way to immortality?

It was no big deal really. All Muhammad did was abandon Ar-Rahman and Ar-Rahim and then renounce his claim to being Allah’s Messenger. But not to worry, Muslim brothers, he did it for the right reason: to acquire what he coveted most—the keys to the Ka’aba Inc.

Not satisfied with Abu Bakr’s response: *Ishaq:504* “Umar jumped up and went to the Prophet. ‘Are you not the Messenger of Allah?’ ‘Yes,’ Muhammad replied. ‘Are we not Muslims?’ Umar asked the Prophet. ‘Yes.’ ‘Are they not polytheists?’ Umar questioned. ‘Yes,’ Muhammad said. ‘Then why should we grant what is detrimental to our religion?’ He replied, ‘I am Allah’s Messenger. I will not disobey and He will not allow me to perish.’” Muhammad was working for the wrong boss. Within two years the terrible tyrant would be worm food. Allah couldn’t, and therefore didn’t, save him.

Those words still lingering on his lips, Allah’s boy denied his gods and his

calling: *Ishaq:504/ Tabari VIII:85* **“The Prophet summoned me and said, ‘Write: “In the name of Allah, Ar-Rahman and Ar-Rahim.”’ Suhayl said, ‘I do not know Ar-Rahman or Ar-Rahim. Should I write rather, “In Thy name, O Allah.”’ So Muhammad said, ‘Write: “In Thy name, O Allah.”’ And I wrote it.”** It’s interesting that the pagan recognized the idol “Allah,” since he was the head of the Meccan pantheon. But he did not know Muhammad’s initial two deities because the prophet had borrowed Ar-Rahman and Ar-Rahim from the Yemeni Hanifs. It’s also interesting to note how quickly Muhammad was willing to abandon his first “gods.” Just a decade earlier, he had recited a Qur’an surah named in Ar-Rahman’s honor. It began: *055.001* **“Ar-Rahman bestowed the Qur’an, He created man, and He taught him speech and intelligence.”** Apparently, the lesson was lost on Muhammad.

Having abandoned his gods, the prophet was a breath away from disavowing his ministry. *Ishaq:504/ Tabari VIII:85* **“He said, ‘Write: “Muhammad, the Messenger of Allah, has made peace with Suhayl.”’ Suhayl said, ‘If I testified that you were the Messenger of Allah, I wouldn’t fight you. Why not write your name and the name of your father.’ So Muhammad said, ‘Write: “Muhammad bin Abdallah has made peace with Suhayl.””**

This Hadith also confirms Muhammad’s capitulation: *Bukhari:V4B53N408* **“When the Prophet wanted to perform the Umrah, the Quraysh stipulated that he could not preach (Islam). So Ali started writing a treaty. ‘This is what Muhammad, Apostle of Allah, has agreed to.’ The (Meccans) said, ‘If we believed that you were the Apostle of Allah we would have followed you. So write, ‘This is what Muhammad bin Abdallah has agreed to.’ The Apostle could not write, so he asked Ali to erase the expression: ‘Apostle of Allah.’ On that Ali said, ‘I will never erase it.’ Muhammad said, ‘Let me see the paper.’ The Prophet erased the expression with his own hand.”** There was nothing Muhammad wouldn’t forsake if the price was right. Nothing was sacred. But knowing that Allah’s lone messenger renounced his god and his witness, why does anyone trust him? Why believe anything he said—including the Qur’an?

Adding injury to insult, the former **“Messenger of God”** proceeded to renounce his methods. *Tabari VIII:86* **“They agreed to terms: warfare shall be laid aside for ten years, during which men can be safe and refrain from hostilities.”** What are the odds that Muhammad could endure ten days, much less ten years without terrorizing, robbing, or raping someone? I’d wager 1.2 billion souls to one.

The Hodaybiyah Armistice went on to say: **“During this time whoever comes to Muhammad from the Quraysh without the permission of his guardian, Muhammad shall return him to them; and whoever shall come to the Quraysh from those who are with Muhammad, they shall not return him to Muhammad. There shall be neither clandestine theft nor betrayal.”** The warrior committed to lay down his weapons—which was all the Quraysh wanted. There would be no more attacks on their caravans. Anyone fleeing Mecca for Medina would be returned to their families. Yet the Quraysh were not similarly obliged. And betrayal, the principle tool of terror, was being abandoned—or so Muhammad said. The question is: could this prophet be trusted?

After completing the treaty of Hdaybiyah, Suhayl, the Quraysh scribe and negotiator, rose and said: *Ishaq:504* “You shall go back, leaving us this year and not enter Mecca. When the next year comes, we will go out, and you shall enter Mecca with your companions and stay for three nights. Your swords must remain in scabbards. You shall not enter with other weapons.” And so it would be. The pilgrimage of a thousand was jilted at the altar.

Thus the warlord abdicated his authority. He turned away from the dilapidated rock shrine that had been the inspiration for this whole sorry affair. It wasn’t Islam’s proudest moment. Although, I don’t know what was...

Ignorant, brutal, and bewildered, the Muslim militants crowded around Muhammad. They knew that their leader had sold himself out—and them along with him. They had come to conquer and plunder. *Tabari VIII:87/Ishaq:505* “The Companions of the Prophet had set out not doubting that they would conquer, because of a vision Muhammad had seen. Therefore, when they saw the negotiations for peace, the retreat, and the obligations the Messenger agreed to—the Muslims felt so grieved about it that they were close to despair. Some were depressed to the point of death.” There is nothing a terrorist hates more than peace negotiations.

The harsh reality of what he had done soon came to haunt the Muslims. *Tabari VIII:87* “While the Prophet was writing the document, Abu Jandal, the son of Suhayl, came in shackles. He had escaped to the Muslims. When Suhayl saw Jandal, he struck him and grabbed his garment. ‘Muhammad,’ he said, ‘the pact was ratified between me and you before he came to you.’ ‘You are right,’ he replied. Suhayl began dragging his son by his robe. Jandal began screaming at the top of his voice, ‘Muslims, shall I be returned to the polytheists so that they can entice me from my religion?’ This made the people feel even worse. The Messenger said, ‘Abu Jandal, count on a reward, for Allah will give you a way out. We have made a treaty, and we will not act treacherously toward them.’”

The terrorists were chafing at the bit. *Ishaq:505* “Umar jumped up, walking beside Jandal, and saying, ‘Be patient. They are only pagans, and the blood of any of them is no more than the blood of a dog!’ Umar held the hilt of his sword close to him. He said, ‘I hoped he would take the sword and kill his father with it.’ But Jandal was too attached to his father to kill him.” They had just signed a peace treaty, and the future Caliph wanted one his young recruits to murder his father.

Tabari VIII:89 “When the Messenger had finished his pact, he said to his Companions, ‘Arise, sacrifice, and shave.’ Not a man stood even after he had said it three times. When no one stood up, went into Umm’s tent and told her what he had encountered from the Muslims. She said, ‘Do you approve of this? Go out, and speak not a word to any of them until you have slaughtered your fattened camel and summoned your shaver to shave you.’” He did as she said. “When they saw this, they rose, slaughtered, and shaved until they almost killed each other in grief.” If these boys didn’t get a terrorist fix in a hurry, there would be hell to pay.

Tabari VIII:90 “Abu Basir was a Muslims confined in Mecca. He escaped and headed to Medina. There, the Prophet told Basir, ‘We have given these people our word. Breaking a

promise is not right in our religion.” But the Qur’an says: *066.001* “Allah has already sanctioned for you the dissolution of your vows.” Who was lying: prophet or god?

Muhammad didn’t return Abu in accordance with the treaty. *Tabari VIII:90* “Abu Basir went out with his companions. When they stopped to rest he asked one of them, ‘Is this sword of yours sharp?’ ‘Yes,’ he replied. ‘May I look at it?’ Basir asked. ‘If you wish.’ Basir unsheathed the sword, attacked the man, and killed him. The other Muslim ran back to the Messenger, saying, ‘Your Companion has killed my friend.’ While the man was still there, Abu Basir appeared girded with the sword. He halted before Muhammad and said, ‘Messenger, your obligation has been discharged.’ The Prophet said, ‘Woe to his mother—the kindler of war’s fire.’” Not returning Abu Basir to Mecca was Muhammad’s first violation of the Hdaybiyah treaty. Not condemning the murder and paying restitution was the second breach.

Ishaq:508/Tabari VIII:91 “Abu Jandal, Suhayl’s son, escaped and joined Abu Basir. Nearly seventy Muslim men gathered around them and they harassed the Quraysh. Whenever they heard of a Meccan caravan setting out for Syria, they intercepted it, and killed everyone they could get a hold of. They tore every caravan to pieces and took the goods. The Quraysh, therefore, sent to the Prophet, imploring him for the sake of Allah and the bond of kinship to send word to them.” Not returning Suhayl’s son was the third Muslim violation. Harassing the Quraysh was the fourth; raiding caravans was fifth; killing and stealing were the sixth and seventh.

Tabari VIII:91 “When word of how Abu Basir had killed his companion reached Suhayl, he leaned his back against the Ka’aba and said, ‘I will not move until they pay blood money for this man.’ By Allah,’ a Quraysh man said, ‘they will never pay.’”

Tabari VIII:92 “On that day Umar divorced two women who had been his wives in polytheism. Thus, he forbade them to send the women back, but commanded them to return the bride price. Then Mu’ayt emigrated to the Messenger. Her brother went to the Prophet and asked him to return her to them according to the treaty of Hdaybiyah. But he did not do so. Allah rejected it.” Not only were these the eighth and ninth Muslim violations, there hadn’t been a single breach attributed to the Meccans. So we must ask ourselves, why were these abuses chronicled in the Hadith? Did the Muslims sages believe that their prophet condoned deception, treachery, murder, thievery, and terror? Did they record these things so that young Muslims today might behave in like manner?

With the natives restless, Muhammad breached the treaty a tenth time. *Tabari VIII:93* “According to Waqidi, in this month, the Messenger sent out Ukkashah with forty men to raid Ghamr. He traveled quickly, but the enemy became aware and fled. He sent out scouts and they captured a spy who guided them to some of their cattle. They took two hundred head back to Medina.” Once a pirate, always a pirate. If you bet in favor of Muhammad abstaining from terror for ten days, you lost—as did the world.

Earning a living, and actually producing something of value, was beyond the first Muslims. They stole everything and produced nothing. Muhammad and his Companions became bloodsucking parasites.

However, they weren't particularly good parasites. *Tabari VIII:93* "The Messenger sent out Muhammad Maslamah with ten men. The enemy lay in wait for them until he and his companions went to sleep. Before they suspected anything, the Muslims were killed; Muhammad escaped, wounded."

Tabari VIII:93 "In this year, according to Waqidi, the Messenger dispatched the raiding party of Abu Ubaydah with forty men. They traveled through the night on foot and reached Qassah just before dawn. They raided the inhabitants, who escaped them by fleeing to the mountains. They took cattle, old clothes, and a man." Muhammad's hit men were a less civil version of Hitler's S.S.

Moving on to the next Hadith in this "religious" book: *Tabari VIII:93* "In this year a raiding party led by Zayd went to Jamum. He captured a Muzaynah woman named Halimah. She guided them to an encampment of the Banu Sulaym where they captured cattle, sheep, and prisoners. Among the captives was Halimah's husband. When Zayd brought back what he had taken, the Prophet granted the woman and her husband their freedom." This wasn't compassionate terrorism. Muhammad liked her because she, like he, had betrayed and plundered her kin.

Tabari VIII:94 "In this year a raiding party led by Zayd went to al-Is. During it, Abu As'b's property was taken." *Tabari VIII:94* "A fifteen-man raiding party led by Zayd went to Taraf against the Banu Thalabah. The Bedouins fled, fearing that Allah's Messenger had set out against them. Zayd took twenty camels from their herds. He was away four nights."

In the midst of all of this fundamental Islamic terrorism, I want to insert a friendly reminder. I have not taken these raids out of context. I have not skipped over the "religious" references in an effort to slander Muhammad or disgrace Islam. There has been nothing religious—not a word. Islam as chronicled in the Islamic Hadith is a terrorist manifesto. Nothing more.

Tabari VIII:94 "In this year Umar married Jamilah. She bore him Asim; then Umar divorced her. Yazid married her after him, and she bore him Abd al-Rahman [a son named after the first pagan god of the Qur'an]." Perhaps *that's* religious.

Tabari VIII:95 "In this year a raiding party led by Abd al-Rahman bin Awf went to Dumat. The Messenger said to him, 'If they obey you, marry the king's daughter.'"

Tabari VIII:95 "In this year a raiding party led by Ali went to Fadak with a hundred men against a clan of the Banu Sa'd. This was because the Prophet had received information that a force of theirs intended to aid the Jews of Khaybar. Ali traveled toward them by night and lay in wait during the day. He captured a spy, who confessed to them that he had been sent to Khaybar to offer the people aid on condition that they would give them the date harvest of Khaybar." This is the seventeenth Muslim violation of the treaty.

In each raid Muhammad's militants were the aggressors. They have not been depicted defending themselves as Islamic apologists claim. They were heartless thugs doing what lost souls do—murdering and plundering. Even now, this township's only crime was trying to offer aid to the Jewish families the Muslims had banished from their homes in Yathrib.

Tabari VIII:96 "A raiding party led by Zayd set out against Umm in the [supposedly holy]

month of Ramadan. During it, Umm suffered a cruel death. Ziyad tied her legs with rope and then tied her between two camels until they split her in two. She was a very old woman.” If Islam gets any more peaceful, this book is going to start bleeding.

Tabari VIII:96 “Umm’s story is as follows. Allah’s Messenger sent Zayd to Wadi Qura, where he encountered the Banu Fazarah. Some of his Companions were killed, and Zayd was carried away wounded. Ward was slain by the Banu Badr. When Zayd returned, he vowed that no washing should touch his head until he had raided the Fazarah. After he recovered, Muhammad sent him with an army against the Fazarah settlement. He met them in Qura and inflicted casualties on them and took Umm Qirfah prisoner. He also took one of Umm’s daughters and Abdallah bin Mas’adah prisoner.” A pagan couldn’t be named “Slave-to-Allah” if Allah weren’t the name of a pagan god. And the reason I continue to harp on this point, is that if Allah was a Meccan rock idol, Muhammad was a liar, Islam is without merit, the Qur’an is rubbish, and jihad terrorism is nothing more than murder—an express ticket to hell.

Tabari VIII:96 “Ziyad bin Harithah ordered Qays to kill Umm, and he killed her cruelly. He tied each of her legs with a rope and tied the ropes to two camels, and they split her in two. Then they brought Umm’s daughter and Abdallah to the Messenger. Umm’s daughter belonged to Salamah who had captured her. Muhammad asked Salamah for her, and Salamah gave her to him.” This is tragic to the point of agony.

Yet the first Muslims enjoyed this story so much they want to share another Hadith. Let’s pray it’s less sadistic. *Tabari VIII:97* “The Messenger appointed Abu Bakr as our commander, and we raided some of the Banu Fazarah. When we came near the watering place, Bakr ordered us to rest. After we prayed the dawn prayer, Bakr ordered us to launch the raid against them. We went down to the watering hole and there we killed some people. I saw women and children among them, who had almost outstripped us; so I sent an arrow between them and the mountain. When they saw the arrow they stopped, and I led them back to Abu Bakr. Among them was a woman of the Banu Fazarah. She was wearing a worn-out piece of leather. With her was her daughter, among the fairest of the Arabs. Abu Bakr gave me her daughter as booty.” Remember, this was Umm’s daughter, a woman whom the Muslims had brutally tortured and mutilated.

Tabari VIII:97 “When I returned to Medina, the Prophet met me in the market and said, ‘Salamah—how excellent the father who begot you! Give me the woman.’ I said, ‘Holy Prophet of Allah, I like her, and I have not uncovered her garment.’ Muhammad said nothing to me until the next day. He again met me in the market and said, ‘Salamah, give me the woman.’ I said, ‘Prophet, I have not uncovered her garment but she is yours.’ Muhammad sent her to Mecca, and with her he ransomed some Muslim captives who were in the hands of the Quraysh.” The first Muslims had terrorized her town. Her property was stolen, her people were killed, her mother was mutilated, and now she was being traded as a piece of meat by the regime’s founder and prophet.

Tabari VIII:98 “In this year a raiding party led by Kurz set out against the members of the Banu Uraynah with twenty horsemen.” I wonder if there was any village within reach of Muhammad’s Muslim militants that they did not plunder?



We close out the violent sixth year of the Islamic Era with some extraordinarily delusional diplomacy. *Tabari VIII:98* **“Between the truce of Hudaybiyah and his death, the Messenger dispersed some of his Companions to the kings of the Arabs and the foreigners to call them to Allah. He sent a letter to Ibn Shihab. The letter stated: ‘I have been sent as a mercy and for all. Therefore, convey the message from me, and Allah shall have mercy on you. Do not become disobedient to me as the Disciples became disobedient to Jesus. He called them to the like of what I called you to. Those whom he sent close by were pleased and accepted; those whom he sent far off were refused. Jesus complained of their behavior to Allah, and when they awoke the next morning, each could speak the language of the people to whom he had been sent. Then Jesus said, ‘This is an affair that Allah has determined for you; so go forth!’”**

Muhammad has so utterly disgraced himself, there is little he can do or say that gets my goat...but calling Yahweh “Allah” and putting foolish words into Christ’s mouth is not something I can let slide without comment. The disciples who experienced Yahshua’s resurrection were as true to their calling as any men have ever been. They had no language barrier. Unlike Muhammad, they were literate. They read, wrote, and spoke the dominant and enlightened languages of their day—Greek and Aramaic. They were not called to deceit, plunder, or terror. They were called to demonstrate sacrificial love. And Yahshua never complained about them to Yahweh. Instead he gave them his spirit, the Holy Spirit, to comfort, teach, and empower them, making them the most influential men who ever lived. Now that I have that off my chest, let’s return to the perverse story of Islam.

Tabari VIII:100 **“The Messenger sent Hatib to Muqawqis, the ruler of Alexandria. Hatib delivered the letter of the Prophet, and Muqawqis gave Allah’s Apostle four slave girls. The Messenger sent Dihyah to Caesar who was Heraclius, the king of the Romans. When Dihyah brought him the letter, he looked into it and then placed it between his thighs and his flanks.”** There were no Caesars in the seventh century; the “Romans” were Byzantines. “Prophets” don’t take “slave girls.” I am surprised these fools managed to fool so many fools. They didn’t get anything right.

Back in Mecca: *Tabari VIII:100* **“Abu Sufyan said, ‘We were merchants but the fighting between us and Muhammad has prevented us from journeying, so our wealth is depleted. [This is the purpose of terrorism.] Even after the truce with the Muslims, we fear that we still are not safe. [Muslims had continued to plunder Meccan caravans and had violated the treaty twenty times.] Nevertheless, I shall set out with a group of merchants for Gaza.’”**

“Abu Sufyan said, ‘We arrived at the time of Heraclius’ victory over the Persians who were in his land. He expelled them and regained his Great Cross, which they had carried off. Having accomplished this, and having received word that his Cross had been rescued, he set out on foot to pray in Jerusalem. Carpets were spread before him and fragrant herbs were strewn in his path. When Heraclius arrived in Jerusalem, he performed his worship.’”

Heraclius and the Byzantines did attack the Persians in 627, but near Nineveh, in today's Iraq, not Gaza or in Byzantine territory. There was no "Great Cross" to be claimed. Further, the road from Constantinople to Jerusalem was not restored until 630. I share these historical facts so that you might recognize the fictitious nature of Islam.

Since the story was not true, there must have been an ulterior motive for sending the pagan Quraysh leader on this mission. And so there was. In a long Tradition with a distinguished isnad, we find fanciful stories proclaiming Muhammad's importance. While fictitious, they are entertaining. *Tabari VIII:101* "King Heraclius arose troubled as he was shown in a dream that the kingdom of circumcision would be victorious. 'O King,' his Roman commanders said, 'the only nation that practices circumcision is the Jews [wrong again, on both counts], and they are under your authority. Send orders to have every Jew beheaded and be rid of this care.' As they were debating this proposal, a messenger from the ruler of Busra [a tribal kingdom in Bostra, Syria] arrived with an Arab. 'O King, an Arab man from the people of sheep and camels reported something marvelous that has happened in his land.' The Arab said, 'A man has appeared among us claiming to be a prophet. Some believe him and follow him and some oppose him. There have been bloody battles in many places.' Heraclius listened to his report and said, 'Strip him!' And behold, he was circumcised."

Heraclius continued his interrogation. *Tabari VIII:102* "Tell me about this man and his claims." Since the Hadith began in first person with Abu Sufyan speaking, I assume he is the one answering. "I began minimizing his importance to him and disparaging him. 'O king, don't worry about him. He's too small to bother you.' The king asked, 'What is his lineage?' I said, 'Pure—the best of us in lineage.' [Mind you, they were clueless. Illiterate people can't maintain genealogies back more than a handful of generations.] He asked, 'Has anyone from his family ever said the like of what he says, so that he would be imitating him?' 'No,' I said." Fortunately, we know better. Muhammad started by parroting the dogma Qusayy had established. Then he plagiarized Zayd, the Hanif poet, before he stole Moses' material from the Torah.

"King Heraclius asked, 'Did he have authority among you, of which you then stripped him, so that he brought this discourse in order that you might restore his authority?' 'No,' I said." Actually, that query hit pretty close to home. Muhammad believed the "authority" of the Ka'aba had been "stripped" from him at birth and this whole "discourse" has been about getting it back. "The king asked, 'Tell me about his followers.' I said, 'The weak, poor, young boys, and women. As for those of his people who have years and honor, none has followed him.'" While that's a lie, it is interesting. Abu Bakr was rich and powerful, as were Umar and Uthman. However, "poor, young boys" continue to find the promise of booty and lust alluring.

"Do his followers love him?' Heraclius asked. 'No man has followed him and then abandoned him.'" Whoever wrote this Tradition ought to have read the Qur'an before scribbling such nonsense. The last ten surahs have been fixated on bad Muslims—hypocrites—abandoning the prophet and Islam in droves. "Tell me,"

the king said, 'how the war between you and him is going.' I replied, 'Sometimes it's his luck to prevail against us, and sometimes our luck to prevail against him.' 'Does he act treacherously?' I found nothing in what he asked me about him in which I could impugn Muhammad's character except to say, 'No. We are in a state of truce with him, and yet we fear he may act treacherously.'" I suppose the fifty terrorists raids the prophet had instigated thus far were all in good fun.

Tabari VIII:103 "Heraclius said, 'If you have told me the truth then he shall surely wrest this very ground from under me. I only wish I were with him so that I could wash his feet.'" Having this "Christian" king say that he wanted to serve Muhammad by "washing his feet" is reminiscent of the monk confirming his prophetic calling forty years earlier. Being a fraud, the only means Muhammad had to authenticate his god and his calling was to fabricate fictitious endorsements.

Tabari VIII:104 "Heraclius received the following letter from the Messenger. 'In the name of Allah, Ar-Rahman, Ar-Rahim. From Muhammad, the Messenger of Allah, to Heraclius, the ruler of the Romans. Peace to whoever follows the right guidance! To proceed; Submit yourself, and you shall be safe.'" Muhammad's idea of "peace" is the same as the Islamic world's today: "Surrender and become a Muslim and we will tax, deceive, and oppress you, but not kill you. Obey and you will have peace."

Ever desperate for confirmation, the Muslim sages bring us this: *Tabari VIII:105* "Al-Zuhri said, 'A Christian bishop whom I met in the time of Abd al-Milik [a Umayyad Caliph who ruled from 685 to 705] told me that he was acquainted with an affair involving the Messenger, Heraclius and his intelligence. According to the bishop, when Heraclius received the letter from Muhammad, he wrote to a man in Rome who used to read from the Hebrew what they use to read, mentioning the affair of the man, describing him, and informing him of what he had received from him. The ruler of Rome wrote back to him; "He is indeed the prophet we have been awaiting. There is no doubt about it. Follow him, and believe him."'" The Old Testament had been translated into Greek in Alexandria in 275 B.C. It was then translated into Latin in the fourth century. A Roman would have read the Latin Vulgate. Further, Muhammad wasn't foretold in the Bible. And the only thing Christians await is the Messiah's return. So, why are the Muslims lying—again? And why are they such bad liars?

Tabari VIII:105 "Heraclius then gave orders to gather the commanders of the Romans for him in a palatial building and ordered its doors to be closed on them. He looked down on them from an upper chamber because he was mortally afraid of them. He said, 'People of the Romans, I have received this man's letter calling me to his religion. By Allah, he is indeed the prophet whom we have been awaiting and whom we find in our Books. Come, let us follow him and believe him, that our life in this world and the next may be secure.' Without exception, they snorted angrily at him and hastened to the doors of the building." Somewhere, sometime, someone may have contrived a less believable endorsement, but by Allah, it's hard to imagine.

This feeble attempt at legitimizing Muhammad's claim to have been foretold in the Bible continues with the Christian bishop and Byzantine king saying

that he was mentioned by name and described in detail. They don't say where, of course, because it isn't there. And with 25,000 ancient Bible fragments and copious Roman books, not a word can be found anywhere to corroborate anything in this preposterous tale. The "Muhammad-was-foretold-and-described proof" is repeated a hundred times in the Qur'an and Sunnah. Yet neither Muhammad, Allah, nor their contemporaries ever identified a single supportive verse. Centuries later Muslim apologists claimed these verses were in Deuteronomy and John. I deal with those lies on page 608.

The never-ending Tradition goes on to say: *Tabari VIII:107* **"Shall we be under the hands of the Arabs when we are mankind's greatest kingdom, most numerous nation, and best land?"** Heraclius said, **'Then let me give him tribute each year so that I can avert his vehemence and find rest from his warfare by means of money that I give to him.'** The Romans said, **'Shall we concede to the Arabs our own humiliation and abasement by a tax that they take from us?'** The king said, **'Then let me make peace with him on condition that I give him the land of Syria [Israel, Jordan, and Lebanon,] and that he leave me with the land of al-Sha'm.'** They replied, **'Shall we give him Syria when we know it to be the navel?'** Having refused him, Heraclius said, **'You will be defeated.'** He offered a farewell salutation and galloped to Constantinople."

Muhammad's letter to Amr in Negus serves as further confirmation that the prophet was as dumb as the Stone for whom he spoke. *Tabari VIII:108* **"In the name of Allah, Ar-Rahman, Ar-Rahim. From Muhammad, the Messenger of Allah, to the Negus, king of the Ethiopians. May you be at peace. I praise you to Allah, the King, the Most Holy, the Peace, the Watcher, and I bear witness that Jesus the son of Mary is the Spirit and Word of Allah, which He cast into the goodly and chaste Virgin Mary, so that she conceived Jesus; whom Allah created from His Spirit and breathed into him, even as He created Adam by His hand and breathed into him, I call you to Allah alone, Who has no partner, to continued obedience to Him, and that you follow me and believe in what has come to me; for I am the Messenger of Allah. I call you and your armies to Allah."**

The Ethiopians laughed themselves silly. Since the Bible claims God's name is "Yahweh" 7000 times, it can't be "Allah." Christ cannot be God's Word—virgin born, created from His Spirit, with life personally breathed into him from God, and created like Adam by God's hand—and not be God, or at least God's *partner*. And how does one transition from Christ being a special creation to following Muhammad and surrendering an army to him?

Confirming that he was the antithesis of Christ, Muhammad told the Ethiopians to send him a slave girl as a gift. *Tabari VIII:110* **"When Abu Sufyan learned that the Prophet had married her, he said, 'That stallion's nose is not to be restrained!'"**

There were other nonexistent letters to Persia, Iran, and Iraq but they're repetitive. I'm going to skip them and return to the Qur'an to see how Muhammad is using Allah. Let's begin by reviewing the 4th surah, which the "stallion" named after the women he craved. It opens with yet another version of creation: *004.001* **"People! Be careful of your Lord, Who created you from a single being and**

created its mate of the same (kind) and spread from these two, many men and women.”

Returning to his favorite Meccan theme, the orphan wants his property returned. *004.002* **“To orphans restore their property...and devour not their substance (by mixing it up) with your own. For this is a great sin.”** Muhammad was worried that the Meccans were devouring the assets of the Ka’aba Inc. After all, he had raided their caravans, destroying their only other source of income. And being the world’s biggest hypocrite, the prophet never returned the property of the orphans he had created by killing their parents and selling them into slavery.

Since this surah was named “Women,” it’s only fair that men have more than one. *004.003* **“If you fear that you shall not be able to deal justly with orphans, marry women of your choice who seem good to you, two or three or four; but if you fear that you shall not be able to do justice (to so many), then only one, or (a slave) that you possess, that will be more suitable. And give the women their dower as a free gift; but if they, of their own good pleasure, remit any part of it to you, eat it with enjoyment, take it with right good cheer and absorb it (in your wealth).”** According to Muhammad, it’s even okay to beat them until they “remit the dower of their own good pleasure.”

Returning to what Muhammad craved: *004.010* **“Those who unjustly eat up the property of orphans, eat up a Fire into their bodies: They will soon endure a Blazing Fire!”**

Next we learn that Allah thinks that men are twice as valuable as women. *004.011* **“Allah directs you in regard of your Children’s (inheritance): to the male, a portion equal to that of two females.... These are settled portions ordained by Allah.”** *004.013* **“Those are limits imposed by Allah.”** In future surahs and Hadiths, Team Islam would call women “half wits,” too.

Muhammad was itching for a “submit and obey” verse, so...*004.012* **“Those who obey Allah and His Messenger will be admitted to Gardens to abide therein and that will be the supreme achievement. But those who disobey Allah and His Messenger and transgress His limits will be admitted to a Fire, to abide therein: And they shall have a humiliating punishment.”** In other words, if you treat women as equals, you’ll burn in hell. *004.015* **“If any of your women are guilty of lewdness, take the evidence of four witnesses from amongst you against them; if they testify, confine them to houses until death [by starvation] claims them. If two men among you are guilty of lewdness, punish them both. If they repent and improve, leave them alone; for Allah is Oft-Returning.”**

The following words, coming as they do from the most perverted sexual libertine to have ever claimed prophetic status, are hilarious: *004.023* **“Prohibited to you are: your mothers, daughters, sisters; father’s sisters, mother’s sisters; brother’s daughters, sister’s daughters; foster-mothers (who gave you suck), foster-sisters; your wives’ mothers; your step-daughters born of your wives to whom you have gone in, no prohibition if you have not gone in; (those who have been) wives of your sons proceeding from your loins [leaving wives of adopted sons—like his Zayd—fair game]; and two sisters in wedlock at one and the same time, except for what is past; for Allah is Oft-Forgiving. Also (prohibited are) women already married, except slaves who are captives (of war).”** Rape is still okay with Rocky.

Since Muhammad's harem included countless concubines and sex slaves, this too is laughable. 004.027 "Allah likes to turn you, but those lost in the lusts of the flesh wish to turn away—far, far away. Allah does wish to lighten your (difficulties): For man was created weak. Believers, you should not usurp unjustly the wealth of others, except it be a trade by mutual consent, and kill not one another. If any do that in rancor and injustice, soon shall We cast them into the Fire: And easy it is for Allah." This admonition is obviously meant to prevent the growing Muslim horde from turning on itself when infidel targets become harder to find. "Plunder and kill anyone you like, except fellow pirates," the chief hypocrite said.

Some verses later, we are reminded that Muhammad was *against* people and that his god was mean-spirited. 004.041 "We brought from each people a witness, and We brought you (O Muhammad) as a witness against these people! On that day will those who disbelieve and disobey the Messenger desire that the earth were leveled with them in it, but they shall not be able hide from Allah."

For a religion fixated on mindless ritual and against honest inquiry, this makes no sense: 004.043 "Believers, approach not prayers with a mind befogged or intoxicated until you understand what you utter. Nor when you are polluted, until after you have bathed. If you are ill, or on a journey, or come from answering the call of nature, or you have touched a woman, and you find no water, then take for yourselves clean dirt, and rub your faces and hands. Lo! Allah is Benign, Forgiving." While this is too weird for words, it's troubling that the Qur'an claims women are unclean and polluted—worse than dirt. It's yet another sign suggesting that Muhammad was abused.

Like so much of the Qur'an, there is no intelligent transition from the dirt bath to this attack on Jews. The entire book is random and chaotic; it is the product of a cluttered and tormented mind. 004.044 "Have you not considered those to whom a portion of the Book has been given? They traffic in error and desire that you should go astray. But Allah has full knowledge of your enemies. Of the Jews there are those who displace words from their (right) places, saying, 'We hear and we disobey' with a twist of their tongues they slander Faith.... Allah has cursed them for disbelief." O great Muslim scholars, if the Jews altered their scriptures to slander Islam as Allah claims, where is the evidence, the proof? What books did they alter? How did they change them? When? And why is there overwhelming evidence that they have not been changed in 2,300 years?

004.047 "O you People of the Book to whom the Scripture has been given, believe in what We have (now) revealed, confirming and verifying what was possessed by you, before We destroy your faces beyond all recognition, turning you on your backs, and curse you as We cursed the Sabbath-breakers, for the decision of Allah Must be executed." Let me see if I understand this. The Jews were given the Scripture Book by Allah, and the Qur'an is new. But it confirms what it condemns. So the Islamic god is going to turn the Jews on their backs and disfigure their faces while cursing them. Forget for a moment that Allah was a rock, and a dumb one at that, and that Muhammad was a sexual pervert, bloodthirsty terrorist, and a pirate; this just

isn't up to the level of scripture.

Having slimed the Jews, it was time for the dark spirit of Islam to attack Christians. *004.048* **"Allah forgives not that partners should be set up with Him; but He forgives anything else, to whom He please; to set up partners with Allah is to devise a sin most heinous."** If that's true, Muhammad is toast. Remember the Quraysh Bargain and the Satanic Verses? By setting up Al-Lat, Al-Uzza, and Manat as partners with Allah, his sin was heinous and *unforgivable*. *004.050* **"Behold! how they invent lies against Allah! That is flagrant sin."** Therefore, Muhammad is in hell.

Continuing to assault Christians, Allah says: *004.051* **"Have you not seen those to whom a portion of the Book has been given? They believe in sorcery and false deities and say of those who disbelieve: these are better guided than those who believe. They are (men) whom Allah has cursed: And those whom Allah has cursed, you will find that they have no savior."** The sorcery and false deities accusation is suicidal. Allah claims to be the God of the Bible so he's condemning himself.

Ever delusional, Muhammad's demonic sidekick is alleged to have said that Christians are envious of Muslims because of the spoils Allah had given his jihad fighters. *004.054* **"Are they jealous and envious what Allah has given them of his bounty? But We had already given the house of Abraham the Book, and conferred upon them a grand kingdom. Some of them believed, and some of them averted their faces from him. And Hell is sufficient for their burning. Those who reject our Signs, We shall soon cast into the Fire. As often as their skin is burnt and singed, roasted through, We shall change it for fresh skin, so that they may go on tasting the torment."** Once again, we find Allah in Hell. This time he is exchanging the skin of his Christian victims so that their torture can be extended. With every word, Allah grows more Satanic. The veil that once kept us from seeing Lucifer behind these words has been singed. We are now peering directly at Satan, the Prince of Darkness, the Whore of Babylon.

For those in churches, the media, and politics eager to embrace Islam, beware. You are dealing with the Devil, and he will kill you. It isn't by chance that hell and punishment are the Qur'an's most repeated and vivid themes. It isn't a coincidence that Allah loves death and awaits the Day of Doom. Nor is it an accident that surest path to Allah's Whore House is martyrdom—death killing Christians and Jews. There is a reason the Qur'an obliterates love, trust, peace, freedom, choice and rational inquiry, imposing in their place hate, fear, war, submission, obedience, and deception.

Since the rivers in Allah's paradise flow with wine, and the entertainment is virgins, Islamic heaven as demented as Islamic hell. *004.057* **"But those who believe and do good deeds, We shall soon admit to Gardens, with rivers flowing, their eternal home: Therein shall they have pure companions."**

The religion of submission is incompatible with freedom and democracy. *004.059* **"Believers, obey Allah, and obey the Messenger, and those charged with authority. If you dispute any matter, refer it to Allah and His Messenger. That is best, most suitable"**

for final determination.” There is no freedom of choice in “submit and obey.” And the Hadith and Qur’an speak with one voice as it relates to the central mission of the poligious doctrine. *Bukhari:V4B52N203* “I heard Allah’s Apostle saying, ‘He who obeys me, obeys Allah, and he who disobeys me, disobeys Allah. He who obeys the chief, obeys me, and he who disobeys the chief, disobeys me.’” Without choice there can be no democracy. There can’t be a religion either, but that’s another matter.

Continuing to focus on the prophet’s favorite theme: *004.064* “We sent not a messenger but to be obeyed, in accordance with the will of Allah.” *004.065* “But no, by the Lord, they can have no Faith until they make you (Muhammad) judge in all disputes, and find in their souls no resistance against Your decisions, and accept them with complete submission.” *004.066* “If We had ordered them to sacrifice their lives or to leave their homes [to fight], very few of them would have done it: But if they had done what they were told, it would have been best for them, and would have strengthened their (faith).” *004.069* “All who obey Allah and the Messenger are the ones whom Allah has bestowed favors [war booty].”

Each time I read these passages I close my eyes in horror. The fact that the president of the United States sent America’s finest men and women into harm’s way to accomplish the impossible is unforgivable. There will never be freedom or democracy in any Islamic state. Sixty percent of Iraqis are Shi’ite Muslims, from which Hezbollah—Allah’s Party—is formed. Thirty-five percent are Sunni Muslims. Enough will follow their prophet’s and god’s orders to assure two things: American’s will die and democracy will fail.

I am also horrified that so few people see through this demented hoax. Islam wasn’t created to lead men to a god. It was perpetrated to empower *a man*.

Moving on to war we learn: *004.071* “Believers, take your precautions, and advance in detachments or go (on expeditions) together in one troop. There are among your men those who would tarry behind (not fighting in Allah’s Cause). If a misfortune befalls you, they say: ‘Allah favored us in that we were not present among them.’” *004.074* “Let those who fight in Allah’s Cause sell the life of this world for the hereafter. To him who fights in the Cause of Allah, whether he is slain or gets victory—We shall give him a great reward.” Jihad is all about greed. The Muslim god promises booty for those who live and paradise for those who die. It’s little wonder there are so many suicide bombers selling this life for the hereafter. Sadly, they lose both.

Muhammad spoke as if he were Allah. *Bukhari:V4B52N44* “A man came to Allah’s Apostle and said, ‘Instruct me as to such a deed as equals Jihad in reward.’ He replied, ‘I do not find such a deed.’” If the best thing a Muslim can do is fight Jihad in Allah’s Cause then Islam is a call to war. *Bukhari:V4B52N50* “The Prophet said, ‘A single endeavor of fighting in Allah’s Cause in the forenoon or in the afternoon is better than the world and whatever is in it.’” Jihad is, therefore, more important than all of the Five Pillars of Islam combined. *Bukhari:V4B52N46* “I heard Allah’s Apostle saying, ‘Allah guarantees that He will admit the Mujahid [Muslim fighter] in His Cause into Paradise if he is killed, otherwise He will return him to his home safely with rewards and war booty.’” If

you die, you get heavenly booty. If you live, you get earthly booty.

Islam is a religion to die for: *Bukhari:V4B52N53* “The Prophet said, ‘Nobody who dies and finds Paradise would wish to come back to this life even if he were given the whole world and whatever is in it, except the martyr who, on seeing the superiority of martyrdom, would like to come back to get killed again in Allah’s Cause.’” “Martyrdom,” “fighting,” “Allah’s Cause,” and “Jihad” are synonymous. *Bukhari:V4B52N54* “The Prophet said, ‘By Him in Whose Hands my life is! Were it not for the believers who do not want me to leave them, I would certainly and always go forth in army-units setting out for Jihad. I would love to be martyred in Allah’s Cause and then get resurrected and get martyred again only to be resurrected so that I could get martyred once more.’” But like Imams today, Allah’s prophet preferred to send boys out to do his dirty work.

Returning to the Qur’an, we don’t miss a beat. *004.075* “What reason have you that you should not fight in Allah’s Cause?” Another translation says: “What is wrong with you that you do not fight for Allah?” *004.076* “Those who believe fight in the Cause of Allah, and those who disbelieve fight in the cause of idols: So fight the allies of Satan: feeble indeed is the plot of Satan.” This is why the Islamic world refers to America as the “Great Satan.” And now that you know the root from which Satan was derived—Adversary—it all makes sense. America is perceived to be a nation comprised of Christians and Jews. We are Lucifer’s, and thus Islam’s, adversary. Islam is ugly, not complicated.

Speaking for the dark spirit of Islam, Muhammad reminds us how much he despises peaceful Muslims. He also confirms that “fear” in the Islamic sense does not mean reverence, but fright. *004.077* “Have you not seen those to whom it was said: Withhold your hands from fighting, perform the prayer and pay the zakat. But when orders for fighting were issued, a party of them feared men as they ought to have feared Allah. They say: ‘Our Lord, why have You ordained fighting for us, why have You made war compulsory?’” The Qur’an ordains Muslims to fight, whether they like it or not. War is compulsory. The enemy is all non-Muslims. The timeline is forever.

Allah is going to kill the pacifists, so they might as well fight. *004.078* “Wherever you are, death will find you, even if you are in towers built up strong and high! If some good befalls, they say, ‘This is from Allah;’ but if evil, they say, ‘This is from you (Muhammad).’ Say: ‘All things are from Allah.’ So what is wrong with these people, that they fail to understand these simple words?” Arabs recognized that evil flowed directly from Muhammad and his dark spirit. What’s more, the Qur’an agrees.

The man who folded while negotiating with a Meccan merchant claims he’s Allah’s messenger to all mankind. But first, Allah must contradict himself. *004.079* “Whatever good happens to you is from Allah; but whatever evil happens to you is from yourself. We have sent (Muhammad) as a Messenger to (instruct) mankind. And enough is Allah for a witness.” How, pray tell, is Allah a sufficient witness? The Black Stone never spoke, performed a miracle, or issued a prophecy. This feeble attempt at scripture deserves an “F.” The subject matter is ugly—overly focused on demented torments, violence, and war. The message is immoral.

The Qur'an approves thievery, murder, kidnapping, the slave trade, rape, incest, and even genocide. The book is plagiarized. And it's jumbled together without context or chronology. The Qur'an is only a witness in that it exposes the fraudulent nature of Muhammad, Allah, and Islam.

004.080 **"He who obeys the Messenger obeys Allah."** If it weren't for Muhammad, Allah would be unknown today. He was merely a stone to be thrown.

Next, we are reminded that prophet and pal were losing their grip. Muslims were beginning to think for themselves. *004.081* **"They have obedience on their lips; but when they leave you (Muhammad), a section of them plots things that are very different from what you commanded them. So keep clear of them."** The reason the first Muslims feigned support was obvious. It's the same reason the vast majority of Germans and Russians pretended to be Nazis and Communists. Dissent was met with imprisonment and death. And this is why we must care enough about "bad" Muslims to free them from "good" ones.

004.082 **"Do they not consider and ponder the Qur'an? Had it been from other than Allah, they would surely have found therein much discrepancy and incongruity."** The 78th verse says: **"All things are from Allah."** The 79th contradicts it saying: **"Whatever good happens to you is from Allah; but whatever evil happens to you is from yourself."** The Qur'an is so rife with contradictions, it has a verse explaining how to deal with them. **"Whenever We cancel a verse or throw it into oblivion, We bring one which is better."** That's why the "book" warns: **"Do not question the Qur'an. There was a party of you who questioned it and as a result, they lost their faith."**

Much of the Qur'an was anachronistic—only pertaining to Muhammad, focusing on his critics, his sexual indulgences, his financial appetite, and lust for power. Muslims are ordered to submit and obey a dead man. This next verse is one of a thousand examples. *004.083* **"When there comes to them some matter regarding war, they discuss it. If only they had referred it to the Messenger, or to those charged with authority, the proper investigators would have understood it. Were it not for the grace of Allah, most of you would have fallen into Satan's clutches."** Along these lines the Noble Qur'an references this Hadith: *Bukhari:V9B92N384* **"Allah's Apostle said, 'Whoever obeys me will enter Paradise, and whoever disobeys me will not.'"**

Muhammad's never-ending war marched on. *004.084* **"Then fight (O Muhammad) in Allah's Cause—you are only responsible for yourself. Incite the believers to fight along with you."** How can there be no contradictions in the Qur'an if five verses earlier we read: **"We have sent (Muhammad) as a Messenger to mankind,"** and now he's **"only responsible for himself?"** And how can Islam be peaceful if its scripture says: **"Incite the believers to fight along with you?"**

The pacifist uprising became so severe, the peaceful Muslims had to be kicked out of the way. *004.088* **"What is the matter with you that you are divided about the Hypocrites? Allah has cast them back (causing their disbelief). Would you guide those whom Allah has thrown out of the Way? For those whom Allah has thrown aside and led astray, never shall they find the Way."** Once again, we see Allah imitating Satan.

004.089 “They wish that you would reject Faith, as they have, and thus be on the same footing: Do not be friends with them until they leave their homes in Allah’s Cause. But [and this is a hell of a but...] if they turn back from Islam, becoming renegades, seize them and kill them wherever you find them.” The book that preached: *002.256* “There should be no compulsion in religion,” just said “if they turn back from Islam, becoming renegades, seize them and kill them wherever you find them.” If that isn’t a contradiction, the word needs to be redefined.

This verse is among the most heinous ever attributed to god. It is the reason Islam has managed to enslave a billion people. If a Muslim rejects Islam, he is labeled a renegade, and must be seized and killed on direct orders from Allah. It’s hard to imagine a dogma—religious or political—so *unappealing* it has to murder those who leave, just to keep others in line.

Moving on we find Muhammad attempting to justify his cowardice, capitulation, and abdication at Hdaybiyah. Allah says the treaty was an interim strategy designed to deceive the enemy—to lull them into a false sense of peace. *004.090* “Except those who join a group between you and whom there is a treaty, or (those who become) weary of fighting you. If Allah had willed, He could have given them power over you, and they would have fought you. Therefore if they withdraw from you and wage not war, and send you (guarantees of) peace, then Allah has not given you a way (to war) against them.” The purpose of terror is to cause people to become so “weary of fighting” they surrender. That’s what is happening in Israel today.

But if a people desiring peace are *perceived* to be mischievous, Allah commands his militants to kill them. *004.091* “You will find others who, while wishing to live in peace and being safe from you to gain the confidence of their people; thrown back to mischief headlong; therefore if they do not withdraw from you, and offer you peace besides restraining their hands, then seize them and kill them wherever you find them; and against these We have given you a clear sanction and authority.” So if you wish to live in peace, but are *perceived* as being mischievous (i.e., non-Muslim), Allah has given his Jihad warriors “a clear sanction and authority to seize and kill” you.

Islam is an equal opportunity hater. Even today, “good” Muslims fight and kill more “bad” Muslims than they do infidels. As such, they must be either accident prone or mistaken. *004.092* “Never should a believer kill a believer unless (it be) by mistake.” *004.093* “If a man kills a believer intentionally, he will be cast into Hell, to abide therein. The wrath, damnation, and curse of Allah are upon him, and a dreadful penalty is prepared for him.”

For the hundredth time we are reminded that “Allah’s Cause” is “Holy War,” better known as “Jihad.” *004.094* “Believers, when you go abroad to fight wars in the Cause of Allah, investigate carefully, and say not to anyone who greets you: ‘You are not a believer!’ Coveting the chance profits of this life (so that you may despoil him). With Allah are plenteous spoils and booty.” Muhammad has bribed his mercenaries to fight for booty. He has demonstrated how easy it is to rob unarmed civilians. So now he is afraid that Muslims will loot everyone they meet. While loot is

fine, according to Allah, there is more to destroying a man than just plundering his possessions. Muhammad and Allah were looking at the bigger picture. A world submissive to them was a far greater prize.

“Good” Muslims fight. *004.095* **“Not equal are believers who sit home and receive no hurt and those who fight in Allah’s Cause with their wealth and lives. Allah has granted a grade higher to those who fight with their possessions and bodies to those who sit home. Those who fight He has distinguished with a special reward.”** A Muslim Hadith explains the verse: *Muslim:C40B20N4676* **“Believers who sit home and those who go out for Jihad in Allah’s Cause are not equal.”** Fundamental Islam, the Islam of Allah, Muhammad, and the Qur’an preaches Jihad. Islam was born violent and weaned on blood.

Muhammad may have felt a momentary twinge of guilt for having banished the Jews. So he had his god say that that the earth was big and the Jews ought to have migrated out of Medina on their own. But since they didn’t, they’re off to hell. *004.097* **“Surely those whom the angels cause to die while they are wrong, shall say: ‘In what plight were you?’ They say: ‘We were weak in the earth.’ ‘Was not Allah’s earth spacious, so that you should have migrated?’ So their abode is hell, an evil resort.”** The prophet’s last words were: **“Banish the Jews from Arabia.”**

Allah wants Jihadists to live off the land. *004.100* **“He who leaves his home in Allah’s Cause finds abundant resources and many a refuge. Should he die as a refugee for Allah and His Messenger his reward becomes due and sure with Allah. When you travel through the earth there is no blame on you if you curtail your worship for fear unbelievers may attack you. In truth the disbelievers are your enemy.”** Either fighting is more important than religion or this religion is *about* fighting. But either way, that’s disconcerting because Muslims see all non-Muslims as their enemy.

In Islam, religion and war are inseparable. *004.102* **“When you (Prophet) lead them in prayer, let some stand with you, taking their arms with them. When they finish their prostrations, let them take positions in the rear. And let others who have not yet prayed come—taking all precaution, and bearing arms. The Infidels wish, if you were negligent of your arms, to assault you in a rush. But there is no blame on you if you put away your arms because of the inconvenience of rain or because you are ill; but take (every) precaution. For the Unbelieving Infidels Allah hath prepared a humiliating punishment.”** Translated: Thugs who murder for god and booty need to watch their backs. After a while, such thugs become known as terrorists—and people start disliking them.

Islam’s war is never-ending. *004.104* **“And do not relent in pursuing the enemy. If you are suffering hardships, they are suffering similar hardships; but you have hope from Allah, while they have none.”** So long as Islam exists they will never relent.

Religions empower men, giving them authority over others. *004.115* **“If anyone contradicts or opposes the Messenger [not Allah] after guidance has been conveyed to him, and follows a path other than the way [of Muhammad], We shall burn him in Hell!”**

Trying to make amends for the Satanic Verses, Muhammad recited: *004.116* **“Surely Allah does not forgive setting up partners with Him; and whoever associates anything with Allah, he indeed strays off into remote error. They call but upon female deities.**

They call but upon Satan, the persistent rebel!" Muhammad admitted to having been duped by Satan. His crime was associating "female deities" with Allah. Since such sins are unforgivable, I wonder if he's enjoying hell as much as Allah seems to? And while this is a fatal problem for Islam, Muhammad didn't learn his lesson. Rather than calling Allah's daughters "idols" or "false gods," he just called them "deities" again.

Allah is the spirit of deceit. *004.118* "Allah did curse him. He said: 'I will mislead them, and I will create in them false desires; I will order them to slit the ears of cattle, and to deface the (fair) nature created by Allah.' Whoever, forsaking Allah, takes Satan for a patron, they are a loser. Satan makes them promises, and creates in them false desires; but Satan's promises are nothing but deception. (His dupes) will have their dwelling in Hell, and from it they will find no way of escape." Satan doesn't create false desires; he preys on carnal and vain desires. He defaces mankind, not cattle. But since Islam is war and war is deception, how are Muslims to differentiate between Allah and Satan?

In a line that would feel equally at home in the *Communist Manifesto*, *Mao's Little Red Book*, or *Mein Kampf*, Allah instructs Muslims to rat on their parents. *004.135* "Believers, stand out for justice as witnesses for Allah even against yourselves, your parents, your family, and relatives whether it be against rich or poor.... Allah is aware of what you do." This verse serves godless tyrants and their regimes. It's neither civil nor religious. But it helps us understand why Islam and Nazism embraced and why Muslims later aligned themselves with Communists.

Putting himself on par with the god he created, Muhammad tells Muslims to believe in *him*. *004.136* "O Believers! Believe in Allah and His Messenger, and the Scripture which He has sent to His Messenger, and the Scripture which He revealed to those before. Any who denies Allah, His angels, His Books, His Messengers, and the Day of Judgment, hath gone far astray." Setting aside for a moment that he was a pervert and a terrorist, how are Muslims to believe in the "scripture revealed before" if it is the antithesis of the "scripture revealed to Muhammad?" And if there was an "uncorrupted" version of the Torah, Psalms, and Gospels, why didn't the Allah/Muhammad brain trust provide Muslims with a copy?

It's evident that Muhammad lost his grip on the Medina Muslims. Many came to think as little of him as the Meccans had before. *004.137* "Those who believe, then reject faith, then believe and reject faith, and go on increasing in unbelief, Allah will never pardon them, nor guide them. To the Hypocrites give the glad tidings that there is for them a grievous penalty, a painful doom." The "glad tidings" line is especially perverted. It reveals Muhammad's deeply disturbed character.

004.140 "You have been commanded in the Book that whenever you hear Verses of Allah denied, derided, ridiculed, or mocked [as is the only reasoned response], do not sit with them and engage them in this talk or you will be no different from them. Indeed, Allah will collect the Hypocrites and Infidels together and put them all in Hell." Those who heard Muhammad's feeble, immoral, and violent "scriptures" directly from his lips

denied, derided, ridiculed, and mocked them. So Muhammad banned debate. Even to this day, any Muslim who criticizes Islam is imprisoned or murdered.

If Islam were open to scrutiny there would be no Muslims. To survive, the poligious doctrine requires its tyrannical dictators to use draconian measures to repress freedom of thought, debate, speech, press, and religion. It's why Islam is wholly incompatible with freedom and democracy. It is why Islamic governments are the most repressive regimes on earth. Islam thrives in submission and ignorance. Therefore, we must defy this ungodly ban and free Muslims from Islam if we hope to free ourselves from the terror it breeds. And the best way to free billions from this lie is to expose it. Truth is lethal to Islam. William Muir, a man considered to be one of Islam's foremost scholars, agrees: "The Qur'an is the most stubborn enemy of Civilization, Liberty, and Truth which the world has yet known."

Those who knew Muhammad best rejected him. They only feigned belief in order to avoid being killed by his goons. *004.142* **"The Hypocrites try to deceive Allah, but it is He Who deceives them. When they stand up performing the prayer, they stand sluggishly, to be seen, but they are mindful of Allah but little. (They are) distracted in mind even in the midst of it, swaying between this and that, one group or the another. Those who Allah causes to go astray and err will not find a way. Believers, take not for friends unbelieving infidels rather than believers [because rational thought is contagious]. Do you want to offer Allah an open proof against you? The Hypocrites will be in the lowest depths of the Fire."** There's a lot of bad stuff in this passage. First, "Allah deceives." "Those who Allah causes to go astray and err will not find a way." We've been bombarded with this concept so often we've become callous to its implications. God by his very nature is incapable of deceit. The moment he becomes dishonest, he ceases to be good. If God is not true, there is no value in knowing him. And since the Islamic god deceives and leads men astray he can never be trusted, as there is no way of knowing when he is lying.

Second, dogmas that require their subjects to feign support in order to avoid death and imprisonment are acknowledging defeat. They are admitting that given the freedom to choose, people would opt out of their repressive regime.

Third, hypocrites are defined as Muslims who don't obey the messenger's orders to leave their homes to fight in Allah's Cause. In this verse the pacifists have descended a notch. They have gone from being "the most vile of animals," to "the lowest depths of the fire." If you are a "peace-loving" Muslim, you may want to find a new religion. You're in a lose-lose situation. If Islam is true, you're going to hell. If Islam isn't true, you're being deceived.

Lastly, truth is so devastating to Islam, association with non-Muslims must be prohibited and condemned. Violating this restrictive order provides "open proof" that Muslims are not sufficiently submissive.

This next verse has an odd beginning. *004.147* **"What can Allah gain by your punishment..."** I don't know, and the verse doesn't say. But it's a good question.

The Islamic world seems to have ignored this one: *004.148* **“Allah does not love the public utterance of hurtful, harsh speech unless (it be) by one to whom injustice has been done.”** I guess every Muslim in every Islamic hate parade feels that he or she has been injured. Fact is, they have: but only by Muhammad and Islam.

Returning to those pesky “bad” Muslims...*004.150* **“Those who deny Allah and His Messengers, and (those who) wish to separate Allah from His Messengers, saying: ‘We believe in some but reject others:’ And (those who) wish to take a course in between; They are the real unbelievers; and we have prepared for unbelievers a humiliating punishment, a shameful doom.”** Methinks our boy doth protest too much. He’s losing control, and it shows. The first Muslims, those who had the “benefit” of Muhammad’s preaching, had to be threatened to swallow the bitter hatred, violence, and immorality of Islam. They knew that there was something very, very wrong with the medicine he was dispensing.

It appears that the fine folks of Medina asked for a little proof, a miracle perhaps, to show that these situational “scriptures” were from God, not merely Muhammad. *004.153* **“The people of the Book ask you to cause (an actual) book to descend to them from heaven. Indeed they asked Moses for an even greater (miracle), for they said: ‘Show us Allah in public,’ but they were dazed for their presumption. Allah’s storm of thunder and lightning seized them for their wickedness.”** In other words, “No miracles for you. And if you ask again, my god is going to frighten you with a thunderstorm like Moses’ God did on Mt. Sinai.”

As an aside, the request for an actual book was astute. Not a word of the Qur’an had been scribed on a scroll. The Qur’an was little more than a stream of situational recitals thinly disguised to serve Muhammad’s agenda.

The next series of verses attacks Jews and assails Christ’s mission. In the 154th verse the Covenant was described as **“the raising of the Mount over the Jews,”** and them becoming Muslims by **“prostrating themselves in submission.”** In the 155th verse Jews were condemned for **“killing the Prophets unjustly.”** Then in the next they were berated for **“uttering a grave false charge of illegal sexual intercourse against Mary.”** Yet in the 157th Allah contradicts history when he has Jews say: **“‘We killed the Messiah, Jesus, son of Mary,’ but they killed him not, nor crucified him. But it appeared so to them (as the resemblance of Jesus was put over another man and they killed that man). Nay, Allah raised him up unto Himself. Those who differ with this version are full of doubts. They have no knowledge and follow nothing but conjecture. For surely they killed him not.”**

As we ponder why Muhammad would lie about such a thing, we must deal with the preposterousness of the allegation. Six hundred years after the single most life- and civilization-altering event in human history, an illiterate and immoral Arab terrorist said it didn’t happen and that any who differ have no knowledge. That’s like the Soviets saying that Americans didn’t walk on the moon simply because the truth didn’t fit their agenda. The evidence for Christ’s crucifixion is overwhelming. You can argue that he wasn’t divine or

that he wasn't resurrected but not that he didn't die on a cross believing that he was sacrificing himself for our sins. For that matter, you can't say that "Jesus" was a prophet, or even a great moral teacher, but wasn't God, because he claimed to be God. If he wasn't God, he was a fool at best and more likely a lunatic and charlatan. Moreover, the Jews never claimed to have "crucified the Messiah" because: (a) the Romans crucified him, and (b) the Jewish clerics who wanted him dead didn't recognize him as the Messiah. Simply stated, the Qur'an's position is impossible, and thus it is untrue.

Muhammad's motivation, however, was transparent. If Christ's sacrifice on the cross reconciled man with God, the Arab prophet was irrelevant. If even one percent of the Gospel account was accurate, Muhammad was a complete fraud, the most vile liar to have ever lived. And then there was Muhammad's boss, Lucifer. If Christ was not crucified, he killed two birds with one stone. Judaism and Christianity were destroyed. Psalm 22 begins with the Messiah's last words on the cross. It then describes the torment of crucifixion in excruciating detail, five hundred years before the Assyrians invented it. Yet we are told that the Messiah's sacrifice was for all time, applying to those who were yet unborn. It says that all would eventually come to serve him as God.

Isaiah 53 is equally specific. Speaking of the importance of the Messiah's sacrifice, the prophet proclaimed: "He was pierced for our transgressions, crushed for our iniquities, and chastised for our well being. By his scourging we are healed. All of us like sheep have gone astray, each of us has turned to his own way; but Yahweh has caused the iniquity of us all to fall upon him." If that didn't happen as predicted outside the walls of Jerusalem on Passover, Yahweh is a liar and there is no savior. Since God cannot lie, Yahweh would not be God and the Messiah would be irrelevant. It's Satan's ultimate fantasy. By deceiving us he achieves his agenda, which is our damnation.

Skipping ahead, we rediscover Allah's dependence on Jews for meaningful Qur'anic content. *004.163* "We have sent you inspiration, as We sent it to Noah and the Messengers after him. We sent inspiration to Abraham, Ishmael, Isaac, Jacob and the [twelve Jewish] Tribes, to Jesus, Job, Jonah, Aaron, and Solomon, and to David We gave the Psalms. Of some messengers We have already told you the story; of others We have not; and to Moses Allah spoke directly." Funny thing, though; Moses didn't mention Allah. Nor did any of the other "messengers." I wonder why?

Then, demonstrating his disdain for those he plagiarized, Muhammad said on behalf of Allah: *004.168* "Those who reject [Islamic] Faith and deal in wrong, Allah will not forgive them nor guide them to any path except the way to Hell, to dwell therein forever. And this to Allah is easy." Regurgitating Lucifer's hatred for Jews and Christians was the easiest thing Allah ever did. Leading mankind astray and directing us to hell was all in a day's work for the demented spirit of Islam.

Then the terrorist pirate and immoral libertine said: *004.171* "O People of the Book! Do not exaggerate in your religion; nor speak lies of Allah. The Messiah, Christ

Jesus, the son of Mary was (no more than) a messenger of Allah, and His Word, which He bestowed on Mary, and a Spirit proceeding from Him. So believe in Allah and His messengers. Say not 'Trinity.' Cease and Desist: (it is) better for you: for Allah is one Ilah (God). (Far it is removed from him of) having a son. To Him belong all things in the heavens and on earth. And enough is Allah as a Disposer of affairs. The Messiah is proud to be a slave of Allah, as are the angels, those nearest. Those who disdain His worship and are arrogant. He will gather them all together unto Himself to (answer).... He will punish with a painful doom; Nor will they find, besides Allah, any to protect or save them." That was ugly. Not only does Allah demote Christ, he claims to be the lone God of Creation. The only thing needed to make Satan's wish list complete was to gather Christians and Jews together and roast them in hell.

The word's most heinous hater of Jews and Christians went on to say that the Messiah will condemn them: *004.159* "And there is none of the People of the Book but will believe in him (Jesus as only a messenger of Allah and a human being) before his (Jesus') death. He will be a witness against them."

004.160 "For the iniquity of the Jews We made unlawful for them certain (foods) in that they hindered many from Allah's Way, that they took usury, though they were forbidden, and that they devoured men's wealth on false pretenses, We have prepared for those among them who reject [Islamic] Faith a painful doom." Stunning! The Jews are being condemned to hell for charging interest on loans they made to the Muslims in Medina. Yet the Muslims who stole their homes, robbed their businesses, confiscated their land, slaughtered their men, raped their women, and sold their children into slavery are in paradise conquering virgins.

Based upon this I think it's fair to say that Islam is without peer. It's the most dimwitted, deceitful, demonic, intolerant, immoral, and violent dogma ever perpetrated on mankind.